arXiv:1610.04496v1 [math-ph] 14 Oct 2016

On coupling kinetic and Schrödinger equations

Avy Soffer* Minh-Binh Tran†

October 17, 2016

Abstract

We consider in this paper a system coupling a linear quantum Boltzmann equation and a defocusing cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation. The Schrödinger equation reflects the dynamics of the wave function of the Bose-Einstein Condensate and kinetic part of the system describes the evolution of the density function of the thermal cloud. An existence and uniqueness result for the system is supplied. We also prove the convergence to equilibrium of the density function of the thermal cloud and a scattering theory for the wave function of the condensate.

Keyword: Low and high temperature quantum kinetics; Bose-Einstein condensate; quantum Boltzmann equation; defocusing cubic nonlinear Schrodinger equation; scattering theory; convergence to equilibrium.

MSC: 82C10, 82C22, 82C40.

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 The simplified model on the coupling between Schrodinger and kinetic equations **5**

3 The linear quantum Boltzmann equation **8**

3.1 The decay rate when $L = L_1$ 8

3.2 The decay rate when $L = L_2$ 18

4 The defocusing cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation **20**

5 Proof of Theorem 1.1 **26**

*Mathematics Department, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ 08903 USA.
Email: soffer@math.rutgers.edu

†Department of Mathematics, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI 53706, USA.
Email: mtran23@wisc.edu

6 Appendix: Proof of Lemma 3.1 - The Poincaré Inequality with inverse Bose-Einstein Distribution weight **28**

1 Introduction

Since the initial discoveries of Bose-Einstein Condensates (BECs) by the JILA and MIT groups, there has been an enormous amount of experimental and theoretical research on BECs and their thermal clouds (see [71, 70, 56, 12, 17, 23, 50, 57, 58, 79, 66, 73, 40, 74, 1, 38, 33, 53, 34, 52, 35, 39, 67, 47, 46], and references therein). The first model for the system of the interaction between BECs and their thermal clouds was introduced by Kirkpatrick and Dorfman in [57, 58]. By a simpler technique, the model was revisited by Zaremba, Nikuni, Griffin in [79, 40]. The terminology "Quantum Kinetic Theory" was first introduced by Gardinier, Zoller and collaborators in the series of papers [38, 33, 53, 34, 52, 35, 39]. Gardinier and Zoller's Master Quantum Kinetic Equation, at the limit, returns to the Kirkpatrick-Dorfman-Zaremba-Nikuni-Griffin (KDZNG) model. For more discussions and references on this topic, we refer to the review paper [3] and the books [51, 65, 36, 77, 37]. Recently, Reichl and Gust discovered a new collision operator in [67, 47, 46]. More details on the derivation of this new collision operator, which had been missing in the previous works, can be found in [68].

Let us note that besides the kinetic theory point of view, there are other approaches to the study of BECs and excitations: the excitation spectrum [69], Fock space approach used to improve convergence rate in the analysis of Hepp, Rodnianski-Schlein [41, 43, 42], Fock space approach central limit theorem [7], Quasifree reduction [4], the time evolution of the one-particle wave function of an excitation [18, 63], and cited references. Quantum kinetic theory, on the other hand, is both a genuine kinetic theory and a genuine quantum theory. In which, the kinetic part arises from the decorrelation between different momentum bands.

For the last two decades, kinetic theory has merged as a very active subfield of mathematics (see [15, 21, 78, 20, 64, 44, 45, 75, 62, 61, 13, 49, 5, 32, 19] and references therein). During the last 10 years, quantum kinetic theory has also become as an important topic with a lot of interest (see [60, 59, 27, 26, 29, 16, 8, 10, 9, 24, 14, 28] and references therein).

In this paper, we are interested in the following simplification of the quantum kinetic - Schrodinger system describing the dynamics of a BEC and its thermal cloud (cf. [57, 58, 79, 40, 38, 33, 53, 34, 52, 35, 39, 67, 47, 46]), where $f(t,r,p)$ denotes the density function of the excitations at time t, position r and momentum p and $\Psi(t,r)$ is the wave function of the condensate at time t and position r:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(t,r,p) + p\cdot\nabla_r f(t,r,p) &= L[f](t,r,p), \qquad (1.1)\\ &\qquad (t,r,p) \in \mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3,\\ f(0,r,p) &= f_0(r,p), (r,p)\in\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3, \qquad (1.2)\\ i\frac{\partial\Psi(t,r)}{\partial t} &= \Big(-\Delta_r + |\Psi(t,r)|^2 + U(t,r)\Big)\Psi(t,r), \qquad (1.3)\end{aligned}$$

$$\Psi(0,r) = \Psi_0(r), \forall r \in \mathbb{R}^3, \tag{1.4}$$

$$\rho[f](t,r) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(t,r,p')dp', \tag{1.5}$$

$$N_c(t,r) = C^* \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\Psi|^2(t,r) e^{-|r-r'|} dr', \tag{1.6}$$

$$U(t,r) = -1 - V(t,r),\ V(t,r) = \rho(t,r), \tag{1.7}$$

where L is of the form (2.9) or (2.10) and ϑ is some positive constant, C^*_ϑ is the normalized constant such that

$$C^*_\vartheta \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-|p|/\vartheta} dp = 1.$$

For the sake of simplicity, let us set $\vartheta = 1$ and denote C^*_1 as C^*. The Bose-Einstein distribution function is defined

$$\mathfrak{E}(p) = C_E \frac{1}{e^{\beta|p|} - 1}, \tag{1.8}$$

with $\beta := \frac{1}{k_B T} > 0$ is a given physical constant depending on the Boltzmann constant k_B, and the temperature of the quasiparticles T at equilibrium. For the sake of simplicity, we suppose $\beta = 1$. The normalized constant C_E is chosen such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathfrak{E}(p) dp = 1.$$

We impose the following boundary condition on Ψ

$$\lim_{|r|\to\infty} \Psi = 1. \tag{1.9}$$

For more physical background of the boundary condition (1.9), we refer to [30, 55, 54, 11, 76] and references therein.

We define

$$\mathcal{L} := \left\{ f \;\middle|\; \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} |f|^2 \mathfrak{E}^{-1} dr dp \right)^{1/2} < \infty \right\},$$

denote the Lebesgue and the Sobolev spaces by L^p, $H^{s,p}$ respectively, for $1 \le p, q \le \infty$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$.

Note that the nonlinear model has already been considered in our previous works [72, 2], in which the kinetic and Schrodinger equations are decoupled.

The main Theorem of our paper is the following:

Theorem 1.1 *Suppose that f_0 is a function in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3) \cap \mathcal{L}$, $|f_0|^{2/3}, |f_0|^2 \in \mathcal{L}$, Φ_0 is a positive function satisfying $\Phi_0 - 1 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $1/2 < \Phi_0 < 3/2$. There exists $\delta > 0$ such that for $\|\nabla N_c(0,\cdot)\|_{L^\infty_r} \le \delta$ and if $\Phi_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ satisfies*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle r \rangle^2 \left(|\mathrm{Re}\Phi_0(r)|^2 + |\nabla \Phi_0(r)|^2 \right) dr < \delta^2, \tag{1.10}$$



Figure 1: The simplified model of the Bose-Einstein Condensate (BEC) and the excited atoms.

under the assumption that L is of the form (2.10)*, the System* (1.1)-(1.3) *has a unique solution* (f, Ψ)*. The first component* $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathcal{L})$*, and* f *decays exponentially in time towards the equilibrium* $f_\infty = 0$ *in the following sense: there exist universal constants* $\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2 > 0$*, such that*

$$\|f(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}} \ + \ \|\nabla f(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}} \leq \mathcal{C}_1 e^{-\mathcal{C}_2 t}. \tag{1.11}$$

Moreover, there also exists a unique constant $\mathcal{C}_3 > 0$ *such that*

$$\|\rho[f](t)\|_{L^2_r(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \|f_0\|_{\mathcal{L}} e^{-\mathcal{C}_3 t}. \tag{1.12}$$

The second component satisfies $\Psi = 1 + u$ *and for* $v := \mathrm{Re}u + iU\mathrm{Im}u$*, we have* $e^{itH} v \in C(\mathbb{R}; \langle r \rangle^{-1} H^1_r(\mathbb{R}^3))$*. Moreover,*

$$\|v(t) - e^{-itH} v_+\|_{H^1_r} \ \leq O\left((t+1)^{-1/2}\right), \ \|\langle r \rangle \left[e^{itH} v(t) - v_+\right]\|_{H^1_r} \to 0, \tag{1.13}$$

as $t \to 0$*, for some* $v_+ \in \langle r \rangle^{-1} H^1_r(\mathbb{R}^3)$*.*
Define $u = u_1 + iu_2$*, we also have*

$$\|u_1(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq O\left((t+1)^{-1}\right), \qquad \|u_2(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq O\left((t+1)^{-9/10}\right). \tag{1.14}$$

Notice that in the above theorem, we choose L to be of the form (2.10). We will see in Proposition 3.1 that in the case L is of the form (2.9) we get a polynomial decay in time of the convergence to equilibrium. This decay rate is too weak for the scattering theory of the Schrodinger equation to be true. On the other hand, in Proposition 3.2, when L is of the form (2.9), the convergence rate to equilibrium is exponential in time.

The structure of the paper is as follows: Section 2 is devoted to the explication of how to obtain (1.1)-(1.7) from the quantum kinetic - Schrodinger system describing the dynamics of a BEC and its thermal cloud (cf. [57, 58, 79, 40, 38, 33, 53, 34, 52, 35, 39, 67, 47, 46]). In Propositions 3.1 and 3.2, we provide the existence, uniqueness and convergence to equilibrium results of the linear quantum Boltzmann equation, for two different choices of the operator L: (2.9) and (2.10). Proposition 4.1 discusses existence and uniqueness results for the nonlinear Schrodinger equation as well as the scattering theory for the equation. Based on Propositions 3.2 and 4.1, the proof of Theorem 1.1 is supplied in Section 4.

2 The simplified model on the coupling between Schrodinger and kinetic equations

In this section, we explain how to obtain the System (1.1)-(1.7) from the quantum kinetic - Schrodinger system describing the dynamics of a BEC and its thermal cloud (cf. [57, 58, 79, 40, 38, 33, 53, 34, 52, 35, 39, 67, 47, 46]). First, recall the BEC-thermal cloud system, at moderately low temperature regime:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(t,r,p) &+ p\cdot\nabla_r f(t,r,p) \qquad (2.1)\\
&= Q[f](t,r,p) := n_c(t,r)C_{12}[f](t,r,p) + C_{22}[f](t,r,p), (t,r,p)\in\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3,\\
f(0,r,p) &= f_0(r,p), (r,p)\in\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3,\\
C_{12}[f](t,r,p_1) &:= \frac{2g^2}{(2\pi)^2\hbar^4}\iint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3}\delta(p_1-p_2-p_3)\delta(\mathcal{E}_{p_1}-\mathcal{E}_{p_2}-\mathcal{E}_{p_3})\\
&\quad\times[(1+f(t,r,p_1))f(t,r,p_2)f(t,r,p_3) - \qquad (2.2)\\
&\quad -f(t,r,p_1)(1+f(t,r,p_2))(1+f(t,r,p_3))]dp_2dp_3\\
&\quad -2\frac{2g^2}{(2\pi)^2\hbar^4}\iint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3}\delta(p_2-p_1-p_3)\delta(\mathcal{E}_{p_2}-\mathcal{E}_{p_1}-\mathcal{E}_{p_3})\\
&\quad\times[(1+f(t,r,p_2))f(t,r,p_1)f(t,r,p_3) -\\
&\quad -f(t,r,p_2)(1+f(t,r,p_1))(1+f(t,r,p_3))]dp_2dp_3,\\
C_{22}[f](t,r,p_1) &:= \frac{2g^2}{(2\pi)^5\hbar^7}\iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3}\delta(p_1+p_2-p_3-p_4) \qquad (2.3)\\
&\quad\times\delta(\mathcal{E}_{p_1}+\mathcal{E}_{p_2}-\mathcal{E}_{p_3}-\mathcal{E}_{p_4})\times\\
&\quad\times[(1+f(t,r,p_1))(1+f(t,r,p_2))f(t,r,p_3)f(t,r,p_4)\\
&\quad -f(t,r,p_1)f(t,r,p_2)(1+f(t,r,p_3))(1+f(t,r,p_4))]dp_2dp_3dp_4,
\end{aligned}$$



Figure 2: The Bose-Einstein Condensate (BEC) and the excited atoms.

where $n_c(t,r) = |\Phi|^2(t,r)$ is the condensate density, Φ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} i\hbar\frac{\partial\Phi(t,r)}{\partial t} = \Big(&-\frac{\hbar\Delta_r}{2m} + g|\Phi(t,r)|^2 + 2g\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f dp + \frac{ig^2}{2\hbar}\iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} \delta(p_1-p_2-p_3) \\ &\times \delta(\mathcal{E}_{p_1}-\mathcal{E}_{p_2}-\mathcal{E}_{p_3})[(1+f(t,r,p_1))f(t,r,p_2)f(t,r,p_3) - \\ &- f(t,r,p_1)(1+f(t,r,p_2))(1+f(t,r,p_3))]dp_1dp_2dp_3\Big)\Phi(t,r), \quad (t,r)\in\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R}^3, \\ \Phi(0,r) &= \Phi_0(r), \forall r\in\mathbb{R}^3, \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

and $\mathcal{E}_p$ is the Bogoliubov dispersion law

$$\mathcal{E}_p = \mathcal{E}(p) = \sqrt{\kappa_1|p|^2+\kappa_2|p|^4}, \qquad \kappa_1 = \frac{gn_c}{m} > 0, \quad \kappa_2 = \frac{1}{4m^2} > 0, \tag{2.5}$$

m is the mass of the particles, g is the interaction coupling constant.
Notice that (2.2) describes collisions of the condensate and the non-condensate atoms (condensate growth term), (2.3) describes collisions between non-condensate atoms, and (2.4) is the defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation of the condensate.
We assume that the temperature of the system is low enough, such that collisions of the condensate and the non-condensate atoms are much stronger than the collisions between non-condensate atoms, C_{22} is therefore negligible. The BEC-thermal cloud system is reduced to

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(t,r,p) + p\cdot\nabla_r f(t,r,p) \\ &\qquad = Q[f](t,r,p) := C_{12}[f](t,r,p), (t,r,p)\in\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3, \\ &f(0,r,p) = f_0(r,p), (r,p)\in\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3, \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

where $n_c = |\Phi|^2$ is the condensate density, Φ satisfies (2.4).
Notice that $\Phi(t,r)$ is usually a function in $H^1_r(\mathbb{R}^3)$, it is not easy to evaluate the value of it at each point (t,r). We therefore replace n_c by the average N_c

$$N_c(t,r) = C^* \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\Phi|^2(t,r) e^{-|r-r'|^2} dr',$$

where ϑ is some positive constant and C^* is the normalized constant

$$C^* \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-|r'|^2} dr' = 1.$$

In the scope of this work, we are only interested in the convergence to equilibrium of the kinetic part and the scattering theory of the Schödinger part of the system. In order to do that, we need the existence and convergence to equilibrium of the solution to the kinetic equation. Note that the existence of a strong solution to the non-homogeneous classical Boltzmann equation is still an open problem. Let us simplify the system by replacing $C_{12}[f]$ with the linear quantum Boltzmann operator $L[f]$:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(t,r,p) \ + \ p \cdot \nabla_r f(t,r,p) \ &= \ N_c(t,r) L[f](t,r,p), \text{ on } \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3, \qquad (2.7) \\ f(0,r,p) \ &= \ f_0(r,p), (r,p) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3, \qquad (2.8) \end{aligned}$$

where $L[f]$ could be either $L_1[f]$ or $L_2[f]$

$$L_1[f](r,p) \ = \ \mathfrak{E}(p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(r,p') dp' - f(r,p) \qquad (2.9)$$

and

$$L_2[f](r,p) \ = \ \mathfrak{E}(p) \nabla \left(\mathfrak{E}^{-1}(p) \nabla f \right), \qquad (2.10)$$

and Φ satisfies (2.11):

$$\begin{aligned} i\hbar \frac{\partial \Phi(t,r)}{\partial t} \ &= \Big(- \frac{\hbar \Delta_r}{2m} \ + \ g|\Phi(t,r)|^2 \ + \ 2g \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f dp \Big) \Phi(t,r), \\ \Phi(0,r) \ &= \Phi_0(r), \forall r \in \mathbb{R}^3. \end{aligned} \qquad (2.11)$$

To simplify the notations, let us omit $\hbar$, m and g and study the following kinetic-Schrödinger system

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(t,r,p) + p \cdot \nabla_r f(t,r,p) \ &= \ L[f] \ := \ N_c(t,r) \left[\mathfrak{E}(p) \rho[f](t,r) \ - \ f(t,r,p) \right], \qquad (2.12) \\ &\qquad \text{on } \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ f(0,r,p) \ &= \ f_0(r,p), (r,p) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ i \frac{\partial \Phi(t,r)}{\partial t} \ &= \ \Big(- \Delta_r + |\Phi(t,r)|^2 + \rho(t,r) \Big) \Phi(t,r), \qquad (2.13) \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\text{on } \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^3,\\
\Phi(0,r) &= \Phi_0(r), \forall r \in \mathbb{R}^3,\\
\rho[f](t,r) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(t,r,p')dp'.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.14}
$$

Now, by putting $\Phi = e^{-it}\Psi$, we obtain the system (1.1)-(1.7).

3 The linear quantum Boltzmann equation

Let us consider the kinetic equation (1.1), with $N_c(t,r)$ being a given coefficient. In the following two subsections, we will consider two different scenarios of L: (2.9) and (2.10). We will see that for the first case, the convergence rate to equilibrium is polynomial and for the second case, it is exponential.

3.1 The decay rate when $L = L_1$

We first observe that the following identities hold true

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} |f(t,r,p)|^2 \mathfrak{E}^{-1}(p)drdp \qquad (3.1)\\
=\ & -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} N_c(t,r)\left[\mathfrak{E}(p)\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(t,r,p')dp' - f(t,r,p)\right]^2 \mathfrak{E}^{-1}(p)drdp\\
=\ & -\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} N_c(t,r)\left[\frac{f(t,r,p)}{\mathfrak{E}(p)} - \frac{f(t,r,p')}{\mathfrak{E}(p')}\right]^2 \mathfrak{E}(p')\mathfrak{E}(p)dp'dpdr.
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 3.1 *Suppose that f_0 be a function in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3)\cap\mathcal{L}$ and $N_c(t,r)$ is bounded from above by $\overline{C}_N$ and from below by $\underline{C}_N$. Under the assumption that $L = L_1$, Equation (1.1)-(1.2) has a unique solution f, which decays polynomially in time towards the equilibrium $f_\infty = 0$ in the following sense: there exists $\mathfrak{M}_1 > 0$ depending on $\|f_0\|_{\mathcal{L}}, \underline{C}_N, \overline{C}_N$, such that*

$$
\|f(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}} \le \frac{\mathfrak{M}_1\big(\|f_0\|_{\mathcal{L}}, \underline{C}_N, \overline{C}_N\big)}{\sqrt{1+t}}. \tag{3.2}
$$

Moreover, there also exists $\mathfrak{M}_2 > 0$ depending on $\|f_0\|_{\mathcal{L}}, \underline{C}_N, \overline{C}_N$, such that

$$
\|\rho[f](t)\|_{L^2_r(\mathbb{R}^3)} \le \frac{\mathfrak{M}_2\big(\|f_0\|_{\mathcal{L}}, \underline{C}_N, \overline{C}_N\big)}{\sqrt{1+t}}. \tag{3.3}
$$

Proof The existence and uniqueness result of the equation (1.1) is classical due to the same argument used in (cf. [31]).
We now try to prove the decay rate (3.2) by assuming without loss of generality that

$f_\infty = 0$. Let us start with the following a priori estimate by multiplying both sides of (1.1) with sign$f$:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial|f|}{\partial t}(t,r,p) + p\cdot\nabla_r|f|(t,r,p) &= N_c(t,r)\left[\mathfrak{E}(p)\rho[f](t,r)\mathrm{sign}f(t,r,p) \;-\; |f|(t,r,p)\right]\\ &\le N_c(t,r)\left[\mathfrak{E}(p)\rho[|f|](t,r) \;-\; |f|(t,r,p)\right].\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Integrating both sides of Inequality (3.4) yields

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|f|(t,r,p)drdp \;\le\; 0,$$

which implies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|f(t,r,p)|drdp \;\le\; \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|f_0(t,r,p)|drdp =: M_{|f_0|}. \tag{3.5}$$

Now, taking the Fourier transform both sides of (1.1), we find

$$\partial_t\hat{f}(t,\zeta,p) \;+\; i(p\cdot\zeta)\hat{f}(t,\zeta,p) \;=\; \hat{N}_c(t,\zeta)*[\hat{\rho}(t,\zeta)\mathfrak{E}(p) \;-\; \hat{f}(t,\zeta,p)]. \tag{3.6}$$

Following the perturbed energy estimate strategy introduced in [22, 6], we define

$$\mathcal{E}[f](t,\zeta) \;=\; \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\hat{f}|^2\mathfrak{E}^{-1}dp\right)(t,\zeta) \;+\; \delta\ \mathrm{Re}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}R_\zeta[\hat{f}]\overline{\hat{f}}\mathfrak{E}^{-1}dp\right), \tag{3.7}$$

where

$$R_\zeta[\hat{f}] \;:=\; \frac{-i\zeta}{1+|\zeta|^2}\rho(p\hat{f})\mathfrak{E}, \quad \rho(p\hat{f}) \;=\; \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}p\hat{f}dp. \tag{3.8}$$

Let us estimate the norm of $R_\zeta[\hat{f}]$, by using Hölder inequality for $\rho(v\hat{f})$

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|R_\zeta[\hat{f}]|^2\mathfrak{E}^{-1}dp &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{|\zeta|^2}{(1+|\zeta|^2)^2}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}p\hat{f}dp\right|^2\mathfrak{E}dp\\ &\le \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{|\zeta|^2}{(1+|\zeta|^2)^2}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\hat{f}|^2\mathfrak{E}^{-1}dp\right)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|p|^2\mathfrak{E}dp\right)\mathfrak{E}dp,\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

which, due to the facts that the integral on $\mathbb{R}^3$ of $|p|^2\mathfrak{E}$ is finite and the inequality $|\zeta|^2 \le \frac{1}{4}(1+|\zeta|^2)^2$, implies

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|R_\zeta[\hat{f}]|^2\mathfrak{E}^{-1}dp &\le C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\hat{f}|^2\mathfrak{E}^{-1}dp\right)\mathfrak{E}dp\\ &\le C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\hat{f}|^2\mathfrak{E}^{-1}dp\right),\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

where the last inequality follows from the fact that the integral on $\mathbb{R}^3$ of $\mathfrak{E}$ is finite. From Inequality (3.10), we deduce that, for δ small enough, there exist two positive constants C_1 and C_2 independent of ζ and t such that

$$C_1\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\hat{f}|^2\mathfrak{E}^{-1}dp\right)(t,\zeta) \;\le\; \mathcal{E}[f](t,\zeta) \;\le\; C_2\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\hat{f}|^2\mathfrak{E}^{-1}dp\right)(t,\zeta). \tag{3.11}$$

We estimate the derivative in time of the norm $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3)$ of the first term of $\mathcal{E}[f]$ in (3.7). It is straightforward that

$$\partial_t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} |f|^2 \mathfrak{E}^{-1} dr dp = \quad 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_t f) f \mathfrak{E}^{-1} dx dp. \tag{3.12}$$

Using Equation (1.1) to replace $\partial_t f$ in the above equation by $-p\cdot\nabla_r f \ + \ L[f]$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} |f|^2 \mathfrak{E}^{-1} dr dp &= 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} \left(-p\cdot\nabla_r f \ + \ L[f]\right) f \mathfrak{E}^{-1} dr dp \\ &= 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} L[f] f \mathfrak{E}^{-1} dr dp \\ &\leq -2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} N_c \left[\mathfrak{E}\rho[f] \ - \ f\right]^2 \mathfrak{E}^{-1} dr dp. \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Using the fact that $N_c \geq \underline{C}_N$, we can bound the integral of $N_c \left[\mathfrak{E}(p)\rho(t,r) \ - \ f(t,r,p)\right]^2 \mathfrak{E}^{-1}$ in the above inequality as

$$\partial_t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} |f|^2 \mathfrak{E}^{-1} dr dp \ \leq \ -\underline{C}_N \int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} \left[\mathfrak{E}\rho[f] \ - \ f\right]^2 \mathfrak{E}^{-1} dr dp. \tag{3.14}$$

Notice that in the above inequality, by the Parseval identity, we can switch the integral in r into an integral in ζ, which yields

$$\partial_t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} |\hat{f}|^2 \mathfrak{E}^{-1} d\zeta dp \ \leq \ -\underline{C}_N \int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} \left|\mathfrak{E}\hat{\rho}[f] \ - \ \hat{f}\right|^2 \mathfrak{E}^{-1} d\zeta dp. \tag{3.15}$$

We now estimate the derivative in time of the norm $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3)$ of the second term of $\mathcal{E}[f]$ in (3.7). Observe that

$$\partial_t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} R_\zeta[\hat{f}]\overline{\hat{f}} \mathfrak{E}^{-1} dp \ = \ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} R_\zeta[\partial_t \hat{f}]\overline{\hat{f}} \mathfrak{E}^{-1} dp \ + \ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} R_\zeta[\hat{f}]\partial_t\overline{\hat{f}} \mathfrak{E}^{-1} dp, \tag{3.16}$$

Using again Equation (1.1) to replace $\partial_t \hat{f}$ and $\partial_t \bar{\hat{f}}$ in the above equation by $-p\cdot\zeta\hat{f} \ + \ L[\hat{f}]$ and $-p\cdot\zeta\overline{\hat{f}} \ + \ L[\overline{\hat{f}}]$, we find

$$\partial_t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} R_\zeta[\hat{f}]\overline{\hat{f}} \mathfrak{E}^{-1} dp \ = \ I \ = \ I_1 \ + \ I_2 \ + \ I_3 \ + \ I_4, \tag{3.17}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &:= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} R_\zeta[ip\cdot\zeta \ \hat{f}] \ \overline{\hat{f}} \ \mathfrak{E}^{-1} \ dp d\zeta, \\ I_2 &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} R_\zeta[L[\hat{f}]] \ \overline{\hat{f}} \ \mathfrak{E}^{-1} \ dp d\zeta, \\ I_3 &:= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} R_\zeta[\hat{f}] \ \overline{ip\cdot\zeta\hat{f}} \ \mathfrak{E}^{-1} \ dp d\zeta, \\ I_4 &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} R_\zeta[\hat{f}] \ \overline{L[\hat{f}]} \ \mathfrak{E}^{-1} \ dp d\zeta. \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

In the sequel, we will estimate I_1, I_2, I_3 and I_4 step by step. Let us start with I_1:

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 \;&:=\; -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{-i\zeta}{1+|\zeta|^2}\; \rho(pip\cdot\zeta\hat{f})\; \overline{\hat{f}}\; \mathfrak{E}\; \mathfrak{E}^{-1}\; dpd\zeta \\ &=\; -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\zeta\otimes\zeta}{1+|\zeta|^2}\;:\; \rho[p\otimes p\hat{f}]\; \overline{\hat{f}}\; dpd\zeta \\ &=\; -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\zeta\otimes\zeta}{1+|\zeta|^2}\;:\; \rho[p\otimes p\hat{f}]\; \overline{\rho[\hat{f}]}d\zeta, \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

in which the following notation for matrix contraction has been used

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{bmatrix} \;:\; \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} & b_{13} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} & b_{23} \\ b_{31} & b_{32} & b_{33} \end{bmatrix} \;=\; \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} a_{i,j}b_{i,j}.$$

In Equation (3.19), we split $p\otimes p\hat{f}$ as the sum of $p\otimes p\mathfrak{E}\rho[\hat{f}]$ and $p\otimes p(\hat{f}-\mathfrak{E}\rho[\hat{f}])$, and obtain

$$I_1 \;:=\; I_{11} \;+\; I_{12}, \tag{3.20}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_{11} \;&:=\; -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\zeta\otimes\zeta}{1+|\zeta|^2}\;:\; \rho[p\otimes p\mathfrak{E}\rho[\hat{f}]]\; \overline{\rho[\hat{f}]}d\zeta \;=\; -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\zeta\otimes\zeta}{1+|\zeta|^2}\;:\; \rho[p\otimes p\mathfrak{E}]\; \left|\rho[\hat{f}]\right|^2 d\zeta, \\ I_{12} \;&:=\; -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\zeta\otimes\zeta}{1+|\zeta|^2}\;:\; \rho[p\otimes p(\hat{f}-\mathfrak{E}\rho[\hat{f}])]\; \overline{\rho[\hat{f}]}d\zeta. \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

Now, for I_{11}, the fact that $\rho[p\otimes p\mathfrak{E}]=\mathrm{Id}$ implies

$$I_{11} \;=\; -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\zeta\otimes\zeta}{1+|\zeta|^2}\;:\; \mathrm{Id}\; \left|\rho[\hat{f}]\right|^2\; d\zeta = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} -\frac{|\zeta|^2}{1+|\zeta|^2}\left|\rho[\hat{f}]\right|^2 d\zeta. \tag{3.22}$$

Set $F=\hat{f}-\mathfrak{E}\rho[\hat{f}]$, the second term I_{12} can be estimated as follows

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{12}| \;&=\; \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| \frac{\zeta\otimes\zeta}{1+|\zeta|^2}\;:\; \rho[p\otimes pF]\; \overline{\rho[\hat{f}]} \right| d\zeta \\ &\leq\; \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\zeta|^2\left|\rho[\hat{f}]\right|}{1+|\zeta|^2}\; \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |p|^2|F|\; dp\, d\zeta \end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

which, by Hölder inequality applied to the integral on p, can be bounded as

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{12}| \;&\leq\; \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\zeta|^2\left|\rho[\hat{f}]\right|}{1+|\zeta|^2}\; \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |F|^2\mathfrak{E}^{-1}\; dp\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\; \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |p|^4\mathfrak{E}\; dp\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d\zeta \\ &\leq\; C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\zeta|^2}{1+|\zeta|^2}\; \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |F|^2\mathfrak{E}^{-1}\; dp\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left|\rho[\hat{f}]\right| d\zeta. \end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

Combining (3.22) and (3.24) yields the following estimate on I_1

$$I_1 \ \leq \ -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\zeta|^2}{1+|\zeta|^2} \left|\rho[\hat{f}]\right|^2 \ d\zeta + \ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} C\frac{|\zeta|^2}{1+|\zeta|^2} \ \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |F|^2 \mathfrak{E}^{-1} \ dp\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left|\rho[\hat{f}]\right| d\zeta. \tag{3.25}$$

We continue with estimating the second term I_2, which could be written under the following form

$$\begin{array}{rcl} I_2 & = & \displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{-i\zeta}{1+|\zeta|^2} \cdot \rho(pL[\hat{f}]) \ \overline{\hat{f}} \ \mathfrak{E} \ \mathfrak{E}^{-1} \ dpd\zeta \\ & = & \displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{i\zeta}{1+|\zeta|^2} \cdot \rho(pL[\hat{f}]) \ \overline{\hat{f}} \ dpd\zeta. \end{array} \tag{3.26}$$

It is straightforward that $L(\mathfrak{E}) = 0$, which implies

$$L[\rho[\hat{f}]\mathfrak{E}] = L(\mathfrak{E})\rho[\hat{f}] = 0.$$

Hence $L[F] = L[\hat{f}]$ and it follows from (3.26) that

$$I_2 \ = \ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{i\zeta}{1+|\zeta|^2} \cdot \rho[pL[F]] \ \overline{\hat{f}} \ dpd\zeta \ = \ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{i\zeta}{1+|\zeta|^2} \cdot \rho[pL[F]]\rho[\overline{\hat{f}}]d\zeta. \tag{3.27}$$

Let us estimate $\rho[pL[F]]$ first. By definition, this term can be rewritten as

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \rho[pL[F]](t,\zeta) & = & \displaystyle\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p\hat{N}_c(t,\cdot) * [\hat{\rho}[F](t,\cdot)\mathfrak{E}(p) \ - \ F(t,\cdot,p)] \ dp\right)(\zeta) \\ & = & \displaystyle\left(\hat{N}_c(t,\cdot) * \hat{\rho}[F](t,\cdot) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p\mathfrak{E}(p) \ dp \ - \ \hat{N}_c(t,\cdot) * \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} pF(t,\cdot,p) \ dp\right)(\zeta). \end{array}$$

Since $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p\mathfrak{E}(p)dp = 0$, the first term in $\rho[pL[F]]$ is zero and $\rho[pL[F]]$ can be reduced to

$$\rho[pL[F]](t,\zeta) \ = \ -\left(\hat{N}_c(t,\cdot) * \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} pF(t,\cdot,p) \ dp\right)(\zeta),$$

which, by Hölder inequality applied to the integral in p, can be bounded as

$$\begin{array}{rcl} |\rho[pL[F]](t,\zeta)| & \leq & \displaystyle\left| \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\hat{N}_c(t,\cdot) * F(t,\cdot,p)|^2 \mathfrak{E}(p)^{-1} \ dp\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\zeta) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |p|^2 \mathfrak{E}(p) \ dp\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right| \\ & \leq & \displaystyle C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\hat{N}_c(t,\cdot) * F(t,\cdot,p)|^2 \mathfrak{E}(p)^{-1} \ dp\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\zeta). \end{array}$$

As a consequence, $|I_2|$ could be bounded by using Höder inequality for the integral in p of $\rho[pL[F]]$ as

$$|I_2| \ \leq \ C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\zeta|}{1+|\zeta|^2} \ \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\hat{N}_c(t,\cdot) * F(t,\cdot,p)|^2 \mathfrak{E}(p)^{-1} \ dp\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\zeta) \left|\rho[\hat{f}]\right| d\zeta. \tag{3.28}$$

Now, we estimate I_3

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{-i\zeta}{1+|\zeta|^2}\cdot\rho(p\hat{f})\mathfrak{E}\ \overline{ip\cdot\zeta\hat{f}}\ \mathfrak{E}^{-1}\ dpd\zeta \\ &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\zeta\cdot\rho[p\hat{f}]|^2}{1+\zeta^2}d\zeta \\ &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\zeta\cdot\rho[pF]|^2}{1+\zeta^2}d\zeta, \end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

where we have used the fact that

$$\rho[p\rho[\hat{f}]\mathfrak{E}] \ = \ \rho[\hat{f}]\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\ p\mathfrak{E}\ dp \ = \ 0.$$

In order to estimate $|I_3|$, we will first try to bound $\rho[pF]$. By Hölder inequality, we find

$$\begin{aligned} |\rho[pF](t,\zeta)| &= \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|p|F(t,\zeta,p)dp\right| \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|F(t,\zeta,p)|^2\mathfrak{E}^{-1}(p)dp\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|p|^2\mathfrak{E}(p)dp\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|F(t,\zeta,p)|^2\mathfrak{E}^{-1}(p)dp\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

which, together with Inequality (3.29), implies

$$|I_3| \leq C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{\zeta^2}{1+\zeta^2}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|F(t,\zeta,p)|^2\mathfrak{E}^{-1}(p)dp\right)d\zeta. \tag{3.30}$$

Estimating I_4 is quite easy and we proceed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} I_4 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{-i\zeta}{1+|\zeta|^2}\rho(p\hat{f})\mathfrak{E}\ \overline{L[\hat{f}]}\ \mathfrak{E}^{-1}\ dpd\zeta \\ &= \frac{-i\zeta}{1+|\zeta|^2}\rho(p\hat{f})\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3}\ \overline{L[\hat{f}]}\ dpd\zeta \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

Putting together the four inequalities (3.25), (3.28), (3.30) and (3.31) yields the following estimate on I

$$\begin{aligned} I \ \leq\ & -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{|\zeta|^2}{1+|\zeta|^2}\left|\rho[\hat{f}]\right|^2\ d\zeta \\ &+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{|\zeta|}{1+|\zeta|^2}\left|\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\hat{N}_c(t,\cdot)*F(t,\cdot,p)|^2(\zeta)\mathfrak{E}(p)^{-1}\ dp\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right|\left|\rho[\hat{f}]\right|d\zeta \\ &+C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{\zeta^2}{1+\zeta^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|F(t,\zeta,p)|^2\mathfrak{E}^{-1}(p)dpd\zeta, \end{aligned} \tag{3.32}$$

where C is a constant varying from lines to lines.
Applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$|\alpha\beta| \le \frac{\alpha^2}{2\epsilon} \ + \ \frac{\epsilon}{2}\beta^2$$

to the right hand side of (3.32), we find

$$\begin{aligned} I \ \le \ & -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\zeta|^2}{1+|\zeta|^2} \left|\rho[\hat{f}]\right|^2 \ d\zeta \\ & + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\epsilon|\zeta|^2}{(1+|\zeta|^2)^2} \left|\rho[\hat{f}]\right|^2 d\zeta \ + \ \frac{C}{\epsilon}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} |\hat{N}_c(t,\cdot) * F(t,\cdot,p)|^2(\zeta)\mathfrak{E}(p)^{-1} \ dpd\zeta \\ & + \ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\zeta|^2}{1+|\zeta|^2}|F|^2\mathfrak{E}^{-1} \ dpd\zeta \\ \le \ & C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(-\frac{|\zeta|^2}{1+|\zeta|^2} + \frac{\epsilon|\zeta|^2}{(1+|\zeta|^2)^2}\right) \left|\rho[\hat{f}]\right|^2 \ d\zeta \\ & + C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\zeta|^2}{1+|\zeta|^2}|F|^2\mathfrak{E}^{-1} \ dpd\zeta + \frac{C}{\epsilon}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} |\hat{N}_c(t,\zeta) * F(t,\zeta,p)|^2\mathfrak{E}(p)^{-1} \ dpd\zeta. \end{aligned} \tag{3.33}$$

Let $\check{F}$ be the inverse Fourier transform of F, by Parseval identity, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\hat{N}_c(t,\cdot) * F(t,\cdot,p)|^2(\zeta)\mathfrak{E}(p)^{-1} \ d\zeta \quad = \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |N_c(t,r)|^2|\check{F}(t,r,p)|^2\mathfrak{E}(p)^{-1} \ dr.$$

Using the assumption $|N_c(t,r)| \le \overline{C}_N$, the right hand side of the above identity can be estimated as

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\hat{N}_c(t,\cdot) * F(t,\cdot,p)|^2(\zeta)\mathfrak{E}(p)^{-1} \ d\zeta \quad \le \quad |\overline{C}_N|^2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\check{F}(t,r,p)|^2\mathfrak{E}(p)^{-1} \ dr.$$

Applying Parseval identity to the right hand side of the above inequality leads to

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\hat{N}_c(t,\cdot) * F(t,\cdot,p)|^2(\zeta)\mathfrak{E}(p)^{-1} \ d\zeta \quad \le \quad |\overline{C}_N|^2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |F(t,\zeta,p)|^2\mathfrak{E}(p)^{-1} \ d\zeta,$$

which, together with (3.33), implies

$$\begin{aligned} I \ \le \ & \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(-\frac{|\zeta|^2}{1+|\zeta|^2} + \frac{\epsilon|\zeta|^2}{(1+|\zeta|^2)^2}\right) \left|\rho[\hat{f}]\right|^2 \ d\zeta \ + \\ & + \ C\left(1+\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} |F|^2\mathfrak{E}^{-1} \ dpd\zeta. \end{aligned} \tag{3.34}$$

We now combine (3.13) and (3.34), to get the following estimate on $\mathcal{E}$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{E}[f](t,\zeta)d\zeta \ \le C\delta & \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(-\frac{|\zeta|^2}{1+|\zeta|^2} + \frac{\epsilon|\zeta|^2}{(1+|\zeta|^2)^2}\right) \left|\rho[\hat{f}]\right|^2 \ d\zeta \ + \\ & + \ C\left[-1+\delta\left(1+\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)\right]\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} |F|^2\mathfrak{E}^{-1} \ dpd\zeta. \end{aligned} \tag{3.35}$$

Choosing δ and ϵ, such that

$$-\delta\frac{|\zeta|^2}{1+|\zeta|^2}+\frac{\delta\epsilon|\zeta|^2}{(1+|\zeta|^2)^2}\leq-\frac{\delta}{2}\frac{|\zeta|^2}{1+|\zeta|^2},$$

and

$$-1+\delta\left(1+\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)\leq\frac{1}{2},$$

we get the following estimate from (3.35)

$$\partial_t\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\mathcal{E}[f](t,\zeta)d\zeta\ \leq\ -C\frac{\delta}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{|\zeta|^2}{1+|\zeta|^2}\left|\rho[\hat{f}]\right|^2\ d\zeta\ -\ \frac{C}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3}|F|^2\mathfrak{E}^{-1}\ dpd\zeta. \tag{3.36}$$

Suppose that $\delta<1$, we deduce from the above inequality that

$$\partial_t\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\mathcal{E}[f](t,\zeta)d\zeta\ \leq\ -\frac{C}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{|\zeta|^2}{1+|\zeta|^2}\left|\rho[\hat{f}]\right|^2\ d\zeta\ -\ \frac{C}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{|\zeta|^2}{1+|\zeta|^2}|F|^2\mathfrak{E}^{-1}\ dpd\zeta. \tag{3.37}$$

Using the identity

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\mathfrak{E}(p)dp=1,$$

we find that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{|\zeta|^2}{1+|\zeta|^2}\left|\rho[\hat{f}]\right|^2\ d\zeta\ =\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{|\zeta|^2}{1+|\zeta|^2}\left|\rho[\hat{f}]\mathfrak{E}\right|^2\mathfrak{E}^{-1}\ d\zeta dp,$$

which, combining with (3.36), implies

$$\partial_t\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\mathcal{E}[f](t,\zeta)d\zeta\ \leq\ -\frac{C}{2}\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{|\zeta|^2}{1+|\zeta|^2}\left[\left|\rho[\hat{f}]\mathfrak{E}\right|^2\ +\ \left|\hat{f}-\rho[\hat{f}]\mathfrak{E}\right|^2\right]\mathfrak{E}^{-1}dpd\zeta\right\}. \tag{3.38}$$

Let us remark that by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\left|\rho[\hat{f}]\mathfrak{E}\right|^2\ +\ \left|\hat{f}-\rho[\hat{f}]\mathfrak{E}\right|^2\geq\frac{1}{2}\left|\hat{f}\right|^2,$$

which, together with (3.38), yields

$$\partial_t\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\mathcal{E}[f](t,\zeta)d\zeta\ \leq\ -\frac{C}{4}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{|\zeta|^2}{1+|\zeta|^2}\left|\hat{f}\right|^2\mathfrak{E}^{-1}dpd\zeta\right]\ =:\ A[f]. \tag{3.39}$$

Let us estimate $A[f]$, by Hölder inequality

$$\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{|\zeta|^2}{1+|\zeta|^2}\left|\hat{f}\right|^2\mathfrak{E}^{-1}dpd\zeta\right]\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1+|\zeta|^2}{|\zeta|^2}\left|\hat{f}\right|^2\mathfrak{E}^{-1}dpd\zeta\right]\ \geq\ \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3}\left|\hat{f}\right|^2\mathfrak{E}^{-1}dpd\zeta\right]^2. \tag{3.40}$$

In order to obtain an inequality for $A[f]$, we will prove that the factor

$$B[f] \ := \ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1+|\zeta|^2}{|\zeta|^2} \left|\hat{f}\right|^2 \mathfrak{E}^{-1} dpd\zeta$$

in the above inequality is bounded. It is straightforward from Inequality (3.15), that

$$\begin{aligned} B_1[f] \ &:= \ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} \left|\hat{f}(t,\zeta,p)\right|^2 \mathfrak{E}^{-1}(p) dpd\zeta \ \leq \ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} \left|\hat{f}_0(\zeta,p)\right|^2 \mathfrak{E}^{-1}(p) dpd\zeta \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} |f_0(r,p)|^2 \mathfrak{E}^{-1}(p) dpdr. \end{aligned} \tag{3.41}$$

We only need to estimate the quantity

$$B_2[f] \ := \ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\left|\hat{f}\right|^2}{|\zeta|^2} \mathfrak{E}^{-1} dpd\zeta,$$

which, by splitting the integral of ζ on $\mathbb{R}^3$ into the sum of two integrals on $\{|\zeta| \leq 1\}$ and $\{|\zeta| > 1\}$, could be rewritten as

$$B_2[f] \ = \ B_{21}[f] \ + \ B_{22}[f], \tag{3.42}$$

where

$$B_{21}[f] \ := \ \int_{\{|\zeta|\leq 1\}\times\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\left|\hat{f}\right|^2}{|\zeta|^2} \mathfrak{E}^{-1} dpd\zeta, \quad B_{22}[f] \ := \ \int_{\{|\zeta|>1\}\times\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\left|\hat{f}\right|^2}{|\zeta|^2} \mathfrak{E}^{-1} dpd\zeta.$$

The second term $B_{22}[f]$ can be bounded by $B_1[f]$ in a straightforward manner as follows

$$B_{22}[f] \ \leq \ B_1[f] \ \leq \ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} |f_0(r,p)|^2 \mathfrak{E}^{-1}(p) dpdr. \tag{3.43}$$

We estimate the first term $B_{21}[f]$

$$\begin{aligned} B_{21}[f] \ &\leq \ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\{|\zeta|\leq 1\}} \frac{\left|\hat{f}\right|^2}{|\zeta|^2} \mathfrak{E}^{-1} d\zeta dp \ \leq \ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sup_{|\zeta|\leq 1} \left|\hat{f}(\zeta,p)\right|^2 \int_{\{|\zeta|\leq 1\}} \frac{1}{|\zeta|^2} \mathfrak{E}^{-1} d\zeta dp \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f(t,r,p)| dr \right)^2 \mathfrak{E}^{-1} dp. \end{aligned} \tag{3.44}$$

In order to bound the right hand side of (3.44), let us define

$$G(t,p) \ = \ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f(t,r,p)| dr,$$

and then by (3.4)

$$\partial_t G \ + \ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} N_c(t,r)|f(t,r,p)|dr \ \leq \ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} N_c(t,r)\mathfrak{E}(p)\rho[|f|](t,r)dr.$$

By using the bounds $\underline{C}_N \leq N_c(t,r) \leq \overline{C}_N$ and (3.5), we deduce from the above identity that

$$\partial_t G \ + \ \underline{C}_N G \ \leq \ M_{|f_0|}\overline{C}_N \mathfrak{E}(p).$$

Multiplying the above inequality by $G\mathfrak{E}^{-1}e^{2\underline{C}_N t}$ and integrate in p yields

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_t G^2 \mathfrak{E}^{-1} e^{2\underline{C}_N t}dp \ + \ 2\underline{C}_N \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} G^2\mathfrak{E}^{-1}e^{2\underline{C}_N t}dp \ \leq \ 2M_{|f_0|}\overline{C}_N \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Ge^{2\underline{C}_N t}dp,$$

which immediately leads to

$$\partial_t \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} G^2 \mathfrak{E} e^{2\underline{C}_N t}dp \right) \ \leq \ 2M_{|f_0|}\overline{C}_N \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Ge^{2\underline{C}_N t}dp.$$

By Hölder inequality, the right hand side of the above is bounded by

$$2M_{|f_0|}\overline{C}_N \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Ge^{2\underline{C}_N t}dp \ \leq \ \ 2M_{|f_0|}\overline{C}_N \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} G^2 e^{2\underline{C}_N t}\mathfrak{E}^{-1}dp \right)^{1/2} e^{\underline{C}_N t},$$

which yields the following differential inequality

$$\partial_t \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} G^2\mathfrak{E}^{-1}e^{2\underline{C}_N t}dp \right) \ \leq \ 2M_{|f_0|}\overline{C}_N \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} G^2\mathfrak{E}^{-1}e^{2\underline{C}_N t}dp \right)^{1/2} e^{\underline{C}_N t}.$$

Solving the above differential inequality, we conclude that the integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} G^2\mathfrak{E}^{-1}dp$$

is bounded uniformly in time by some constant $C > 0$. As a consequence

$$B_{21}[f] \ \leq C, \tag{3.45}$$

where C is some universal constant.
Combining the Inequalities (3.41), (3.43), (3.45), we find that $B[f]$ is bounded by a universal constant C, which, together with (3.40) implies

$$A[f] \ \geq \ \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} \left|\hat{f}\right|^2 \mathfrak{E}^{-1}dpd\zeta \right]^2.$$

As a result, Inequality (3.39) leads to

$$\partial_t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{E}[f](t,\zeta)d\zeta \ \leq \ -C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{E}[f](t,\zeta)d\zeta \right)^2. \tag{3.46}$$

Therefore

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{E}(t,\zeta)d\zeta \ \leq \frac{C}{1+t}, \tag{3.47}$$

where C is some universal depending on $\mathcal{E}[f](0,\cdot)$, which, by (3.11), implies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} |\hat{f}|^2\mathfrak{E}^{-1}dpd\zeta \ \leq \ \frac{C(\|f_0\|)\mathcal{L}}{1+t}. \tag{3.48}$$

The second decay estimate (3.3) can be proved by Hölder inequality as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(t,r,p)dp\right|^2 dr &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|f(t,r,p)|^2\mathfrak{E}^{-1}(p)dp\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\mathfrak{E}(p)dpdr\\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|f(t,r,p)|^2\mathfrak{E}^{-1}(p)dpdr\\ &\leq \frac{C\big(\|f_0\|_{\mathcal{L}}\big)}{1+t}.\end{aligned}$$

■

3.2 The decay rate when $L = L_2$

Let us start by the following weighted Poincaré inequality, whose proof can be found in the Appendix and is inspired by a remark of P.-L. Lions [25], to prove the classical Poincaré inequality with inverse Gaussian weight

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{|p|^2}|\nabla\varphi(p)|^2dp \ \geq \ C_{PC}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{|p|^2}|\varphi(p)|^2dp, \tag{3.49}$$

for some universal constant C_{PC}. We would like to thank E. Zuazua for showing us the remark.

Lemma 3.1 *We have the following Poincaré inequality with inverse Bose-Einstein Distribution weight, for all function φ such that all the integrals below are well defined:*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathfrak{E}^{-1}(p)|\nabla\varphi(p)|^2dp \ \geq \ \frac{1}{4}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\mathfrak{E}^{-1}(p)|\varphi(p)|^2dp. \tag{3.50}$$

Proposition 3.2 *Suppose that f_0 be a function in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3)\cap\mathcal{L}$, $|f_0|^{\frac{n+1}{2}}\in\mathcal{L}$ for some $n=\frac{p}{q}\geq 1$, p,q are odd, and $N_c(t,r)$ is bounded from above by $\overline{C}_N$ and from below by $\underline{C}_N$. Under the assumption that $L = L_2$, Equation (1.1)-(1.2) has a unique solution f, which decays exponentially in time towards the equilibrium $f_\infty = 0$ in the following sense:*

$$\left\||f(t)|^{\frac{n+1}{2}}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}} \leq \left\||f_0|^{\frac{n+1}{2}}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}} e^{-\underline{C}_N t/4}. \tag{3.51}$$

Moreover, there exist $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that

$$\|\rho[f](t)\|_{L^{n+1}_r(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq c_1 e^{-c_2 t}. \tag{3.52}$$

If $n = 1$ and $\|\nabla N_c\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}$ is also bounded by a constant C^*_N,

$$\|f(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}} \ + \ \|\nabla f(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}} \leq 3\left(t\|\nabla N_c\|_{L^\infty_r}\|f_0\|_{\mathcal{L}} \ + \ \|\nabla f_0\|_{\mathcal{L}} \ + \ \|f_0\|_{\mathcal{L}}\right) e^{-\underline{C}_N t/4}. \tag{3.53}$$

Proof Similar as in Proposition 3.1, the existence and uniqueness result of the equation (1.1) is classical.
Since $p + q$ is even and q is odd, using $f^n\mathfrak{E}^{-1}$ as a test function in (1.1) yields

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|f|^{n+1}}{n+1}\mathfrak{E}^{-1}dpdr \ = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{4N_c}{(n+1)^2}\left|\nabla f^{\frac{n+1}{2}}\right|^2\mathfrak{E}^{-1}dpdr. \tag{3.54}$$

It follows directly from Lemma 3.1 that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{4N_c}{n+1}|\nabla f^{\frac{n+1}{2}}|^2\mathfrak{E}^{-1}dpdr \ \geq \ \frac{\underline{C}_N}{n+1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3}|f|^{n+1}\mathfrak{E}^{-1}dpdr \tag{3.55}$$

Putting together the two Inequalities yields

$$\left\||f|^{\frac{n+1}{2}}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}} \ \leq \ \left\||f_0|^{\frac{n+1}{2}}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}} e^{-\underline{C}_N t/(2n+2)}. \tag{3.56}$$

The second decay estimate (3.52) can be proved by Hölder inequality as for (3.3) of Proposition 3.1.

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(t,r,p)dp\right|^{n+1} dr \ &\leq \ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|f(t,r,p)|^{n+1}\mathfrak{E}^{-1}(p)dp\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\mathfrak{E}(p)^{1/n}dp\right)^n\right]dr \\ &\leq \ c_1 e^{-c_2 t}.\end{aligned}$$

We now prove the third decay estimate (3.53). Defining $g_i = \partial_{r_i} f$, where r_i is one of the component of the space variable $r = (r_1, r_2, r_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$, and taking $g_i\mathfrak{E}^{-1}$ as a test function, we find

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3}|g_i|^2\mathfrak{E}^{-1}drdp \ \leq \ -\frac{\underline{C}_N}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3}|g_i|^2\mathfrak{E}^{-1}dpdr \ + \ 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3}\partial_i N_c f g_i\mathfrak{E}^{-1}drdp. \tag{3.57}$$

Now, we can estimate the second term on the right hand side of (3.57) as follows

$$2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3}\partial_i N_c f g_i\mathfrak{E}^{-1}drdp \ \leq 2\|\nabla N_c\|_{L^\infty_r}\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}}\|g_i\|_{\mathcal{L}},$$

which, together with (3.57) leads to

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3}|g_i|^2\mathfrak{E}^{-1}drdp \ + \ \frac{\underline{C}_N}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3}|g_i|^2\mathfrak{E}^{-1}dpdr \ \leq \ 2\|\nabla N_c\|_{L^\infty_r}\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}}\|g_i\|_{\mathcal{L}}. \tag{3.58}$$

Plugging the decay estimate (3.56) into (3.58) implies

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|g_i\|_{\mathcal{L}}^2 \ + \ \frac{\underline{C}_N}{2}\|g_i\|_{\mathcal{L}}^2 \ \leq 2\|\nabla N_c\|_{L_r^\infty}\|f_0\|_{\mathcal{L}}e^{-\underline{C}_N t/4}\|g_i\|_{\mathcal{L}}, \tag{3.59}$$

which yields

$$\|g_i\|_{\mathcal{L}} \ \leq \ \left(t\|\nabla N_c\|_{L_r^\infty}\|f_0\|_{\mathcal{L}} \ + \ \|g_i(0)\|_{\mathcal{L}}\right)e^{-\underline{C}_N t/4}. \tag{3.60}$$

As a consequence, (3.53) follows. ■

4 The defocusing cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation

In this section, we study the scattering theory for the following defocusing cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$\begin{aligned} i\frac{\partial\Psi(r,t)}{\partial t} &= \Big(-\Delta_r \ + \ |\Psi(r,t)|^2 + U(t,r)\Big)\Psi(r,t), &(4.1)\\ \Psi(0,r) &= \Psi_0(r), \forall r\in\mathbb{R}^3, &(4.2)\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \|V(t,\cdot)\|_{H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} &= \|U(t,\cdot)+1\|_{H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \ \leq \ \mathfrak{C}_1 e^{-\mathfrak{C}_2 t}, &(4.3)\\ \|V(t,\cdot)\|_{L_r^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)} &= \|U(t,\cdot)+1\|_{L_r^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \ \leq \ \mathfrak{C}_3 e^{-\mathfrak{C}_4 t}, &(4.4)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|V(t,\cdot)\|_{L_r^3(\mathbb{R}^3)} \ = \ \|U(t,\cdot)+1\|_{L_r^3(\mathbb{R}^3)} \ \leq \ \mathfrak{C}_5 e^{-\mathfrak{C}_6 t}, \tag{4.5}$$

with some positive constants $\mathfrak{C}_1, \mathfrak{C}_2, \mathfrak{C}_3, \mathfrak{C}_4, \mathfrak{C}_5, \mathfrak{C}_6$.
We denote the Fourier transform on $\mathbb{R}^3$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}\varphi \ &= \ \hat{\varphi}(\zeta) \ := \ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\varphi(r)e^{-ir\zeta}dr,\\ \mathcal{F}_r^\zeta[f(r,r')] \ &= \ \left(\mathcal{F}_r^\zeta\right)(\zeta,r') \ := \ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(r,r')e^{-ir\zeta}dr,\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

as well as the Fourier multiplier

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(-i\nabla)f \ &:= \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\varphi(\zeta)\hat{f}(\zeta)],\\ \varphi(-i\nabla)_r f(r,r') \ &:= (\mathcal{F}_r^\zeta)^{-1}[\varphi(\zeta)\mathcal{F}_r^\zeta[f(r,r')]].\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Next, we define the standard Littlewood-Paley decomposition. Let χ be a fixed cut-off function $\chi\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying $\chi(r)=1$ for $|r|\leq 1$ and $\chi(r)=0$ for $|r|\geq 2$. Define for each $k\in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}$ the function

$$\chi^k(r) \ := \ \chi(|r|/k) \ - \ \chi(2|r|/k), \tag{4.8}$$

such that $\chi^k \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and

$$\text{supp}\chi^k \ \subset \ \{k/2 \ < \ |r| \ < \ 2k\}, \qquad \sum_{k\in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}} \chi^k(r) \ = \ 1 \quad (r \neq 0). \tag{4.9}$$

The Littlewood-Paley decomposition is then defined as follows

$$f \ = \ \sum_{k\in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}} \chi^k(\nabla) f, \tag{4.10}$$

which leads to the following decomposition into lower and higher frequencies

$$f_{<k} \ := \ \sum_{j<k} \chi^j(\nabla) f, \quad f_{\geq k} \ := \ \sum_{j\geq k} \chi^j(\nabla) f. \tag{4.11}$$

For any function $B(\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_N)$ on $(\mathbb{R}^3)^N$, we define the N-multilinear operator $B[f_1, \ldots, f_N]$

$$\mathcal{F}_r^\zeta B[f_1, \ldots, f_N] \ := \ \int_{\zeta=\zeta_1+\cdots+\zeta_N} B(\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_N) \hat{f}_1(\zeta_1) \ldots \hat{f}_N(\zeta_N) d\zeta_1 \ldots \zeta_N. \tag{4.12}$$

The above operator is known as a multilinear Fourier multiplier with symbol B.
Let us also recall inequality (2.20) in [48]:
For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$\frac{1}{p_0} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}, p_0, p_1, p_2 \in (1, \infty),$$

the following inequality holds true

$$\sup_{a\in[0,1]} \left\| \frac{\langle \zeta_1 \rangle^{2k(1-a)} \langle \zeta_2 \rangle^{2ka}}{\langle (\zeta_1, \zeta_2) \rangle^{2k}} [f, g] \right\|_{L_r^{p_0}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \ \lesssim \ \|f\|_{L_r^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|g\|_{L_r^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \tag{4.13}$$

Define

$$u \ = \ \Psi \ - \ 1 \ = u_1 \ - \ i u_2, \tag{4.14}$$

we obtain the following system of equations whose solution is (u_1, u_2)

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{u}_1 \ &= \ -\Delta u_2 \ + \ 2u_1 u_2 \ + \ |u|^2 u_2 \ + V u_2, \\ \dot{u}_2 \ &= \ -(2 - \Delta) u_1 \ - \ 3u_1^2 \ - \ u_2^2 \ - \ |u|^2 u_1 \ - \ V(u_1 + 1). \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

We define

$$v \ = \ u_1 \ + \ iU u_2, \ \ U \ = \ \sqrt{-\Delta(\ 2 \ - \ \Delta)^{-1}}, \tag{4.16}$$

and obtain the following equation for v,

$$i\partial_t v \ - \ Hv \ = \ U(3u_1^2 \ + \ u_2^2 \ + \ |u|^2 u_1) \ + \ i(2u_1 u_2 \ + \ |u|^2 u_2), \tag{4.17}$$

where

$$H \ = \ \sqrt{-\Delta(\ 2 \ - \ \Delta)}. \tag{4.18}$$

For any number or vector ζ, let us define

$$\langle\zeta\rangle \;:=\; \sqrt{2 \;+\; |\zeta|^2}, \quad U(\zeta) \;:=\; \frac{|\zeta|}{\zeta}, \quad H(\zeta) \;:=\; |\zeta|\langle\zeta\rangle, \;\; \tilde{\zeta} \;:=\; \frac{\zeta}{|\zeta|}, \tag{4.19}$$

which will appear normally in Fourier spaces, and the operators U and H in (4.19) are the same with the ones defined in (4.18) and (4.16).

Proposition 4.1 *For γ small enough, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for $\Phi_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ satisfying*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle r\rangle^2 \left(|\mathrm{Re}\Phi_0(r)|^2 + |\nabla\Phi_0(r)|^2\right) dr < \delta^2, \tag{4.20}$$

the Equation (4.1) has a unique global solution $\Psi_\delta = 1 + u$ such that for $v := \mathrm{Re}u + iU\mathrm{Im}u$, we have $e^{itH}v \in C(\mathbb{R}; \langle r\rangle^{-1}H^1_r(\mathbb{R}^3))$. Moreover,

$$\|v(t) - e^{-itH}v_+\|_{H^1_r} \;\leq \frac{M_1(\mathfrak{C}_1,\delta)}{\sqrt{t+1}}, \;\; \|\langle r\rangle\left[e^{itH}v(t) - v_+\right]\|_{H^1_r} \leq M_0(t,\mathfrak{C}_1,\delta) \to 0, \tag{4.21}$$

as $t \to 0$, for some $v_+ \in \langle r\rangle^{-1}H^1_r(\mathbb{R}^3)$.
Define $u = u_1 + iu_2$, we also have

$$\|u_1(t)\|_{L^\infty_r} \leq \frac{M_2(\mathfrak{C}_1,\delta)}{t+1}, \qquad \|u_2(t)\|_{L^\infty_r} \leq \frac{M_3(\mathfrak{C}_1,\delta)}{(t+1)^{9/10}}. \tag{4.22}$$

Moreover, for fixed $\mathfrak{C}_1$, the three functions M_0, M_1, M_2, M_3 are decreasing in δ and tend to 0 as δ and $\mathfrak{C}_1$ tend to 0.

Proof
Similar as in [48], we also define

$$Z \;:=\; v \;+\; b(u) \;:=\; v \;-\; \langle(\zeta_1,\zeta_2)\rangle^{-2}[u_1,u_1] \;+\; \langle(\zeta_1,\zeta_2)\rangle^{-2}[u_2,u_2], \tag{4.23}$$

and obtain the following equation for Z by the *normal form transformation*

$$i\dot{Z} \;-\; HZ \;=\; \mathcal{N}_Z(v) \;+\; \mathcal{M}(v), \tag{4.24}$$

in which

$$\mathcal{M}(v) \;=\; -2\langle(\zeta_1,\zeta_2)\rangle^{-2}[u_1,Vu_2] \;-2\langle(\zeta_1,\zeta_2)\rangle^{-2}[u_2,V(u_1+1)], \tag{4.25}$$

and the nonlinear term $\mathcal{N}_Z(v)$ is of the following form

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{N}_Z(v) \;:=\; & B_1[v_1,v_1] \;+\; B_2[v_2,v_2] \;+\; C_1[v_1,v_1,v_1] \;+\; C_2[v_2,v_2,v_1] \\ & +\; iC_3[v_1,v_1,v_2] \;+\; iC_4[v_2,v_2,v_2] \;+\; iQ_1[u],\end{aligned} \tag{4.26}$$

with the following definitions for B_1 and B_2 in the Fourier space

$$\begin{aligned}B_1(\zeta_1,\zeta_2) \;&=\; \frac{-2U(\zeta_1 \;+\; \zeta_2)(4 \;+\; 4|\zeta_1|^2 \;+\; 4|\zeta_2|^2 \;-\; \zeta_1\zeta_2)}{2 \;+\; |\zeta_1|^2 \;+\; |\zeta_2|^2}, \\ B_2(\zeta_1,\zeta_2) \;&=\; \frac{-2U(\zeta_1 \;+\; \zeta_2)\langle\zeta_1\rangle\langle\zeta_2\rangle\tilde{\zeta_1}\tilde{\zeta_2}}{2 \;+\; |\zeta_1|^2 \;+\; |\zeta_2|^2},\end{aligned} \tag{4.27}$$

and the cubic multipliers are defined in the Fourier space as follows

$$\begin{aligned} C_1(\zeta_1 \ + \ \zeta_2, \zeta_1, \zeta_2) \ &= U(\zeta_1 \ + \ \zeta_2), \ \ C_2(\zeta_1 \ + \ \zeta_2, \zeta_1, \zeta_2) \ = \ U(\zeta_1 \ + \ \zeta_2)U(\zeta_1)^{-1}U(\zeta_2)^{-1}, \\ C_3(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3) \ &= U(\zeta_3)^{-1}\left(1 \ - \ \frac{4}{2 \ + \ |\zeta_1|^2 \ + \ |\zeta_2+\zeta_3|^2} - \frac{6}{2 \ + \ |\zeta_1+\zeta_2|^2+|\zeta_3|^2}\right), \\ C_4(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3) \ &= U(\zeta_3)^{-1}\left(1 \ - \ \frac{2}{2 \ + \ |\zeta_1+\zeta_2|^2 \ + \ |\zeta_3|^2}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.28}$$

Moreover, Q_1 is of the following form

$$Q_1(u) \ = \ -2\langle(\zeta_1,\zeta_2)\rangle^{-2}[u_1,|u|^2u_2] \ -2\langle(\zeta_1,\zeta_2)\rangle^{-2}[u_2,|u|^2u_1]. \tag{4.29}$$

For any complex-valued function f, set

$$Jf \ = \ e^{-itH}re^{itH}f. \tag{4.30}$$

Now, our function spaces can be set up as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \|Z(t)\|_{X(t)} \ &:= \|Z(t)\|_{H^1_r} \ + \ \|JZ(t)\|_{H^1_r}, \ \ \|Z\|_X \ := \ \sup_t\|Z(t)\|_{X(t)}, \\ \|Z\|_S \ &:= \|Z\|_{L^\infty_t H^1_r} \ + \ \|U^{-1/6}Z\|_{L^2_t H^{1,6}_r}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.31}$$

Fix a time T large enough, by Duhamel formula, applied to (4.24), we find

$$Z(t) \ = e^{-iHt}Z(T) \ + \ \int_T^t e^{-iH(t-s)}\mathcal{N}_Z(s)ds \ + \ \int_T^t e^{-iH(t-s)}\mathcal{M}(s)ds. \tag{4.32}$$

By Inequalities (5.3) and (8.5) of [48], we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\|\int_T^t e^{-i(t-s)H}\mathcal{N}_Z(s)ds\right\|_{X(T,\infty)} \ &\lesssim \langle T\rangle^{-\epsilon}, \\ \left\|\int_T^t e^{-i(t-s)H}\mathcal{N}_Z(s)ds\right\|_{S(T,\infty)} \ &\lesssim T^{-1/2}\left(\|v\|^2_{X\cap S} \ + \ \|v\|^4_{X\cap S}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{4.33}$$

for some small $\epsilon > 0$.
Now, we will estimate the left-over in the norm (4.31)

$$I \ := \ \int_T^t e^{-iH(t-s)}\mathcal{M}(s)ds. \tag{4.34}$$

Let us define

$$I_1 := \|JZ\|_{L^\infty_t H^1_r}, \tag{4.35}$$

which can be bounded as

$$I_1 \ \lesssim \ \left\|\int_T^t e^{-iH(t-s)}\left(r - s\nabla H(\zeta)\right)\mathcal{M}(s)ds\right\|_{L^\infty_t H^1_r}. \tag{4.36}$$

By Strichartz inequality, the above inequality can be estimated as

$$I_1 \lesssim \|r\mathcal{M}\|_{L_t^2 H_r^{1,6/5}} + \|t\mathcal{M}\|_{L_t^2 H_r^{2,6/5}}. \tag{4.37}$$

Using (4.13) for $k=1$, $p_0=6/5$, $p_1=6$, $p_2=3/2$, we find

$$\|r\mathcal{M}\|_{H_r^{1,6/5}} \lesssim \|ru\|_{L_r^6}\|Vu\|_{L_r^{3/2}} + \|ru\|_{L_r^6}\|V\|_{L_r^{3/2}}, \tag{4.38}$$

which, by Hölder inequality, can be estimated as

$$\|r\mathcal{M}\|_{L_t^2 H_r^{1,6/5}} \lesssim \|ru\|_{L_t^\infty L_r^6}\|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_r^\infty}\|V\|_{L_t^2 L_r^{3/2}} + \|ru\|_{L_t^\infty L_r^6}\|V\|_{L_t^2 L_r^{3/2}}. \tag{4.39}$$

Recall Inequality (9.9) from [48],

$$\|ru\|_{L_r^6} \le \|v(t)\|_{X(t)}, \tag{4.40}$$

and Inequality (5.8) in [48]

$$\begin{aligned} \||\nabla|^{-2+5\theta/3}v_{<1}(t)\|_{L_r^6} &\lesssim \min\left(1, t^{-\theta}\right)\|v(t)\|_{X(t)}, \\ \||\nabla|^{\theta}v_{\ge 1}(t)\|_{L_r^6} &\lesssim \min\left(t^{-\theta}, t^{-1}\right)\|v(t)\|_{X(t)}, \quad \forall \theta\in[0,1]. \end{aligned} \tag{4.41}$$

Moreover, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} \|V\|_{L_t^\infty L_r^2} &\lesssim \langle T\rangle^{-n}, \quad \|\nabla V\|_{L_t^\infty L_r^2} \lesssim \langle T\rangle^{-n}, \\ \|V\|_{L_t^\infty L_r^{3/2}} &\lesssim \langle T\rangle^{-n}, \quad \|\nabla V\|_{L_t^\infty L_r^{3/2}} \lesssim \langle T\rangle^{-n}, \\ \|V\|_{L_t^\infty L_r^3} &\lesssim \langle T\rangle^{-n}, \quad \|\nabla V\|_{L_t^\infty L_r^3} \lesssim \langle T\rangle^{-n}, \quad \forall n>0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.42}$$

By using the boundedness of u, Inequalities (4.41), the decays (4.5), (4.42) of V and (4.40), we deduce from (4.39) that

$$\|r\mathcal{M}\|_{L_t^2 H_r^{1,6/5}} \lesssim (\|v\|_X + 1)\langle T\rangle^{-n}, \quad \forall n>0. \tag{4.43}$$

Using (4.13) for $k=1$, $p_0=6/5$, $p_1=6$, $p_2=3/2$, we find

$$\|t\mathcal{M}\|_{H_r^{2,6/5}} \lesssim \|tu\|_{L_r^6}\|Vu\|_{L_r^{3/2}} + \|tu\|_{L_r^6}\|V\|_{L_r^{3/2}}, \tag{4.44}$$

which, again by Hölder inequality, can be bounded as

$$\|t\mathcal{M}\|_{L_t^2 H_r^{1,6/5}} \lesssim \|tu\|_{L_t^\infty L_r^6}\|u\|_{L_t^2 L_r^6}\|V\|_{L_t^\infty L_r^2} + \|tu\|_{L_t^\infty L_r^6}\|V\|_{L_t^2 L_r^{3/2}}. \tag{4.45}$$

Replacing $\theta=3/5$ and $\theta=0$ into (4.41), we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{<1}(t)\|_{L_r^6} \lesssim \|\nabla^{-1}v_{<1}(t)\|_{L_r^6} &\lesssim \min\left(1, t^{-3/5}\right)\|v(t)\|_{X(t)}, \\ \|u_{\ge 1}(t)\|_{L_r^6} \lesssim \|v_{\ge 1}(t)\|_{L_r^6} &\lesssim \min\left(1, t^{-1}\right)\|v(t)\|_{X(t)}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.46}$$

which yields at once, for t large

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t)\|_{L_r^6} &\lesssim \langle t\rangle^{-3/5} \\ \|u(t)\|_{L_t^2 L_r^6} &\lesssim \langle t\rangle^{-1/10}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.47}$$

Using (4.47) and (4.42), we find that

$$\|t\mathcal{M}\|_{L_t^2 H_r^{1,6/5}} \lesssim \langle T\rangle^{-n}, \quad \forall n > 0. \tag{4.48}$$

As a consequence, from (4.37), (4.43), (4.48), we deduce

$$I_1 \leq \langle T\rangle^{-n}(\|v\|_X + 1), \quad \forall n > 0. \tag{4.49}$$

Now let us consider

$$I_2 := \left\| \int_T^t e^{-iH(t-s)}\mathcal{M}(s)ds \right\|_{L_t^\infty H_r^1}. \tag{4.50}$$

As a view of Strichartz estimate, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 \lesssim & \||\nabla V||u|^2\|_{L_t^1 L_r^2} + \||V||u|^2\|_{L_t^1 L_r^2} + \||V||\nabla u||u|\|_{L_t^1 L_r^2} \\ & + \|Vu\|_{L_t^1 L_r^2} + \|\nabla V u\|_{L_t^1 L_r^2} + \|V\nabla u\|_{L_t^1 L_r^2}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.51}$$

which, by Hölder inequality, can be estimated as

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_T^t e^{-iH(t-s)}\mathcal{M}(s)ds \right\|_{L_t^\infty H_r^1} \lesssim & \|\nabla V\|_{L_t^1 L_r^2}\|u\|^2_{L_t^\infty L_r^\infty} + \|V\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2}\|u\|^2_{L_t^\infty L_r^\infty} \\ & + \|V\|_{L_t^1 L_r^3}\|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_r^\infty}\|\nabla u\|_{L_t^\infty L_r^6} + \|V\|_{L_t^1 L_r^2}\|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_r^\infty} \\ & + \|\nabla V\|_{L_t^1 L_r^2}\|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty} + \|V\|_{L_t^1 L_r^3}\|\nabla u\|_{L_t^\infty L_r^6}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.52}$$

Using the fact that $\|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_r^\infty}$ is bounded and (4.42), we obtain from (4.52) that

$$\left\| \int_T^t e^{-iH(t-s)}\mathcal{M}(s)ds \right\|_{L_t^\infty H_r^1} \lesssim \langle T\rangle^{-n}\|\nabla u\|_{L_t^\infty L_r^6} + \langle T\rangle^{-n}, \quad \forall n > 0. \tag{4.53}$$

We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L_x^6} &= \|\nabla u_{\geq 1}(t)\|_{L_x^6} + \|\nabla u_{<1}(t)\|_{L_x^6} \\ &\approx \|\nabla v_{\geq 1}(t)\|_{L_r^6} + \|v_{<1}(t)\|_{L_r^6}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.54}$$

Using (4.41) for $\theta = 1$, we find

$$\||\nabla|^{-1/3}v_{<1}(t)\|_{L_r^6} + \||\nabla|v_{\geq 1}(t)\|_{L_r^6} \lesssim \min\left(1, t^{-1}\right)\|v(t)\|_{X(t)} + t^{-1}\|v(t)\|_{X(t)}, \tag{4.55}$$

which, together with (4.54), leads to

$$\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L_r^6} \lesssim \min\left(1, t^{-1}\right)\|v(t)\|_{X(t)} + t^{-1}\|v(t)\|_{X(t)}. \tag{4.56}$$

With Inequality (4.56), we can bound (4.53) as

$$I_2 \ \lesssim \ \langle T\rangle^{-n}\|Z\|_X \ + \ \langle T\rangle^{-n}, \ \ \forall n>0. \tag{4.57}$$

Finally, we define

$$I_3 \ := \ \left\| \int_T^t e^{-iH(t-s)}U^{-1/6}\mathcal{M}ds \right\|_{L_t^2 H_r^{1,6}} \tag{4.58}$$

which can be bounded, by Strichartz estimate, as

$$I_3 \ \leq \ \left\| U^{-1/6}\mathcal{M} \right\|_{L_t^2 H_r^{1,6/5}}. \tag{4.59}$$

Apply Inequality (4.13) for $k=1$, $p_0=6/5$, $p_1=6$, $p_2=3/2$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
I_3 \ &\leq \|V\|_{L_t^\infty L_r^{3/2}} \Big(\|u\|_{L_t^2 L_r^6} \ + \ \|u^2\|_{L_t^2 L_r^6} \Big) \\
&\leq \|V\|_{L_t^\infty L_r^{3/2}} \Big(\|u_{\geq 1}\|_{L_t^2 L_r^6} \ + \ \|u_{<1}\|_{L_t^2 L_r^6} + \ \|u_{\geq 1}^2\|_{L_t^2 L_r^6} \\
&\quad + \ \|u_{<1}^2\|_{L_t^2 L_r^6} \Big) \\
&\leq \|V\|_{L_t^\infty L_r^{3/2}} \Big(\|u_{\geq 1}\|_{L_t^2 L_r^6} \ + \ \|u_{<1}\|_{L_t^2 L_r^6} + \ \|u_{\geq 1}\|_{L_t^2 L_r^6}\|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_r^\infty} \\
&\quad + \ \|u_{<1}\|_{L_t^2 L_r^6}\|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_r^\infty} \Big) \\
&\leq \|V\|_{L_t^\infty L_r^{3/2}} \Big(\|v_{\geq 1}\|_{L_t^2 L_r^6} \ + \ \||\nabla|^{-1}v_{<1}\|_{L_t^2 L_r^6} + \ \|v_{\geq 1}\|_{L_t^2 L_r^6}\|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_r^\infty} \\
&\quad + \ \||\nabla|^{-1}v_{<1}\|_{L_t^2 L_r^6}\|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_r^\infty} \Big),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.60}$$

which, due to the fact that $\|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_r^\infty}$ is bounded, can be estimated as

$$I_3 \ \leq \|V\|_{L_t^\infty L_r^{3/2}} \Big(\|v_{\geq 1}\|_{L_t^2 L_r^6} \ + \ \||\nabla|^{-1}v_{<1}\|_{L_t^2 L_r^6} \Big). \tag{4.61}$$

Using (4.41) for $\theta = 0, 3/5$ and taking into account the decay (4.5), we obtain

$$I_3 \ \leq (\langle T\rangle^{-n}\|v\|_X \ + \ 1), \ \ \forall n>0. \tag{4.62}$$

Taking into account the estimates (4.33), (4.49), (4.57), and (4.62), by a bootstrap argument as in [48], the conclusion of the proposition then follows. ■

5 Proof of Theorem 1.1

According to Proposition 3.2, for a given function Ψ satisfying the assumption of the Proposition, there exists a unique global solution $f = \mathcal{F}_1[\Psi]$ to

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(t,r,p) + p\cdot\nabla_r f(t,r,p) \ \ = \ \ L[f] := \mathfrak{E}(p)\nabla\left(\mathfrak{E}^{-1}(p)\nabla f\right), \tag{5.1}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
(t,r,p) \ &\in \ \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\
f(0,r,p) \quad &= \quad f_0(r,p), (r,p) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3. \qquad (5.2)
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover

$$
\|\mathcal{F}_1[\Psi](t)\|_{\mathcal{L}} \ + \ \|\nabla \mathcal{F}_1[\Psi](t)\|_{\mathcal{L}} \leq \left(t\|\nabla N_c\|_{L_r^\infty}\|f_0\|_{\mathcal{L}} \ + \ \|\nabla f_0\|_{\mathcal{L}} \ + \ \|f_0\|_{\mathcal{L}}\right) e^{-\underline{C}_N t/4}. \quad (5.3)
$$

Due to Proposition 4.1, for a given function f satisfying the assumption of the Proposition, there exists a unique global solution $\Psi = \mathcal{F}_2[f]$ to

$$
\begin{aligned}
i\frac{\partial \Psi(t,r)}{\partial t} \quad &= \quad \Big(-\Delta_r \ + \ |\Psi(t,r)|^2 - 1 \ + \ \rho[f]\Big)\Psi(t,r), (t,r) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^3, \qquad (5.4) \\
\Psi(0,r) \quad &= \quad \Psi_0(r), \forall r \in \mathbb{R}^3. \qquad (5.5)
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{F}_2[f](t) - 1\|_{L_r^\infty} \ &\leq \frac{\mathcal{M}\left(\left(t\|\nabla N_c\|_{L_r^\infty}\|f_0\|_{\mathcal{L}} \ + \ \|\nabla f_0\|_{\mathcal{L}} \ + \ \|f_0\|_{\mathcal{L}}\right) e^{-\underline{C}_N t/4}, \delta\right)}{(t+1)^{9/10}} \\
\|\mathcal{F}_2[f](t) - 1\|_{H_r^1} \ &\leq \frac{\mathcal{M}\left(\left(t\|\nabla N_c\|_{L_r^\infty}\|f_0\|_{\mathcal{L}} \ + \ \|\nabla f_0\|_{\mathcal{L}} \ + \ \|f_0\|_{\mathcal{L}}\right) e^{-\underline{C}_N t/4}, \delta\right)}{(t+1)^{1/2}},
\end{aligned} \qquad (5.6)
$$

where $\mathcal{M} = \max\{M_2 + M_3, M_1\}$ and M_1, M_2, M_3 are defined in Proposition 4.1.
In order to prove that (1.2)-(1.7) has a unique global solution, it is sufficient to prove that the function $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_1 o \mathcal{F}_2$ has a fixed point.
Note that conditions (4.3), (4.4), (4.5) are automatically satisfied due to the fact $|f_0|^{2/3}, |f_0|^2 \in \mathcal{L}_{¿}$
We deduce from (5.6) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|\mathcal{F}[\Psi](t) - 1\|_{L_r^\infty} \ \leq \\
&\leq \ \frac{\mathcal{M}\left(\left(t\|\nabla N_c\|_{L_r^\infty}\|f_0\|_{\mathcal{L}} \ + \ \|\nabla f_0\|_{\mathcal{L}} \ + \ \|f_0\|_{\mathcal{L}}\right) e^{-\underline{C}_N t/4}, \delta\right)}{(t+1)^{9/10}}. \qquad (5.7)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us consider $\nabla_r N_c(t,r)$, which can be written as

$$
\nabla_r N_c(t,r) \ = \ C^* \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{r-r'}{|r-r'|}|\Psi(r',t)|^2 e^{-|r-r'|} dr'. \qquad (5.8)
$$

Since

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{r-r'}{|r-r'|} e^{-|r-r'|} dr' = 0,
$$

we can rewrite the form of $\nabla_r N_c(t,r)$ as

$$
\nabla_r N_c(t,r) \ = \ C^* \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{r-r'}{|r-r'|}\left(|\Psi(r',t)|^2 - 1\right) e^{-|r-r'|} dr', \qquad (5.9)
$$

whose sup-norm can be bounded as

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla_r N_c\|_{L^\infty_r} &\leq C^*\||\Psi|^2-1\|_{L^1_r}\left\|e^{-|r'|^2}\right\|_{L^\infty_r}\\ &\leq C^*\||\Psi|^2-1\|_{L^1_r}\\ &\leq C^*\|\Psi-1\|_{L^2_r}\|\Psi+1\|_{L^2_r}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

Combining the above estimate and (5.7) yields

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\mathcal{F}[\Psi](t)-1\|_{L^\infty_r} \leq\\ \leq\ &\frac{\mathcal{M}\left(\left(2t\|\Psi-1\|_{L^2_r}\|\Psi+1\|_{L^2_r}\|f_0\|_{\mathcal{L}} \ +\ \|\nabla f_0\|_{\mathcal{L}} \ +\ \|f_0\|_{\mathcal{L}}\right)e^{-\underline{C}_N t/4},\delta\right)}{(t+1)^{9/10}}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

Similarly, the following inequality also holds true

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\mathcal{F}[\Psi](t)-1\|_{H^1_r} \leq\\ \leq\ &\frac{\mathcal{M}\left(\left(2t\|\Psi-1\|_{L^2_r}\|\Psi+1\|_{L^2_r}\|f_0\|_{\mathcal{L}} \ +\ \|\nabla f_0\|_{\mathcal{L}} \ +\ \|f_0\|_{\mathcal{L}}\right)e^{-\underline{C}_N t/4},\delta\right)}{(t+1)^{9/10}}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

We deduce from the above that, for ϵ small enough and for $\|\nabla f_0\|_{\mathcal{L}}, \|f_0\|_{\mathcal{L}}, \delta$ small correspondingly, the operator $\mathcal{F}$ maps the ball $B(1,\epsilon)$ of $L^2_r(\mathbb{R}^3)$ into a compact set of $B(1,\epsilon)$. As a consequence, it has a fixed point and the conclusion of the theorem follows by Propositions 3.2 and 4.1.

Acknowledgements. This work was partially supported by a grant from the Simons Foundation (#395767 to Avraham Soffer). A. Soffer is partially supported by NSF grant DMS01600749. M.-B Tran is partially supported by NSF Grant RNMS (Ki-Net) 1107444, ERC Advanced Grant DYCON. M.-B Tran would like to thank Professor Linda Reichl and Professor Robert Dorfman for fruiful discussions on the topic.

6 Appendix: Proof of Lemma 3.1 - The Poincaré Inequality with inverse Bose-Einstein Distribution weight

Let us first define

$$\omega \ = \ |p|, \tag{6.1}$$

and

$$F \ = \ \mathfrak{E}^{-1/2}\varphi, \tag{6.2}$$

and take the gradient of the above function

$$\nabla F \ = \nabla\omega\frac{e^\omega}{2(e^\omega-1)^{1/2}}\varphi \ +\ (e^\omega-1)^{1/2}\nabla\varphi,$$

which implies

$$(e^{\omega}-1)|\nabla\varphi|^2 \;=\; \left|\nabla F \;-\; \nabla\omega\frac{e^{\omega}}{2(e^{\omega}-1)^{1/2}}\varphi\right|^2.$$

Expanding the right hand side of the above inequality yields

$$(e^{\omega}-1)|\nabla\varphi|^2 \;=|\nabla F|^2 \;-\; \nabla F\nabla\omega\frac{\varphi e^{\omega}}{(e^{\omega}-1)^{1/2}} \;+\; |\varphi|^2|\nabla\omega|^2\frac{e^{2\omega}}{4(e^{\omega}-1)}.$$

Since $\omega = |p|$ and $e^{2\omega} \geq (e^{\omega}-1)^2$, the last term on the right hand side of the above inequality can be bounded as

$$|\varphi|^2|\nabla\omega|^2\frac{e^{2\omega}}{4(e^{\omega}-1)} \;\geq\; |\varphi|^2|\nabla\omega|^2\frac{(e^{\omega}-1)}{4},$$

which yields

$$(e^{\omega}-1)|\nabla\varphi|^2 \;\geq |\nabla F|^2 \;-\; \nabla F\nabla\omega\frac{\varphi e^{\omega}}{(e^{\omega}-1)^{1/2}} \;+\; |\varphi|^2|\nabla\omega|^2\frac{(e^{\omega}-1)}{4}. \tag{6.3}$$

Now, let us consider the second term on the right hand side of (6.3), that can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}-\nabla F\nabla\omega\frac{\varphi e^{\omega}}{(e^{\omega}-1)^{1/2}} \;&=\; -\nabla F\nabla\omega\frac{Fe^{\omega}}{e^{\omega}-1}\\ &=\; -\frac{1}{2}\nabla F^2\nabla\omega\frac{e^{\omega}}{e^{\omega}-1},\end{aligned}$$

which, in combination with (6.3), yields

$$(e^{\omega}-1)|\nabla\varphi|^2 \;\geq |\nabla F|^2 \;-\; \frac{1}{2}\nabla F^2\nabla\omega\frac{e^{\omega}}{e^{\omega}-1} \;+\; |\varphi|^2|\nabla\omega|^2\frac{(e^{\omega}-1)}{4}.$$

Integrating both sides of the above inequality with respect to p leads to the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(e^{\omega}-1)|\nabla\varphi|^2dp &\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla F|^2dp \;-\; \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\nabla F^2\nabla\omega\frac{e^{\omega}}{e^{\omega}-1}dp \;+\; \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\varphi|^2|\nabla\omega|^2\frac{(e^{\omega}-1)}{4}dp\\ &\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla F|^2dp + \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}F^2\nabla\left(\nabla\omega\frac{e^{\omega}}{e^{\omega}-1}\right)dp + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\varphi|^2|\nabla\omega|^2\frac{(e^{\omega}-1)}{4}dp,\end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

where the last line follows from an integration by parts on the second term on the right hand side of the inequality.
Developing the second term on the right hand side of (6.4), we find

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(e^{\omega}-1)|\nabla\varphi|^2dp\\ &\geq\; \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla F|^2dp \;+\; \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}F^2\left(\Delta\omega\frac{e^{\omega}}{e^{\omega}-1} \;-\; \frac{|\nabla\omega|^2}{(e^{\omega}-1)^2}\right)dp \;+\; \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\varphi|^2|\nabla\omega|^2\frac{(e^{\omega}-1)}{4}dp.\end{aligned} \tag{6.5}$$

By noting that $\Delta\omega = \frac{3}{|p|}$ and $|\nabla\omega| = 1$, we deduce from (6.4) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (e^{\omega} - 1)|\nabla\varphi|^2 dp \\
&\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla F|^2 dp \ + \ \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F^2 \left(\frac{3}{|p|}\frac{e^{|p|}}{e^{|p|}-1} \ - \ \frac{e^{|p|}}{(e^{|p|}-1)^2} \right) dp \ + \ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\varphi|^2 \frac{(e^{\omega}-1)}{4} dp \quad (6.6) \\
&\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\varphi|^2 \frac{(e^{\omega}-1)}{4} dp.
\end{aligned}$$

References

[1] Thibaut Allemand. Derivation of a two-fluids model for a Bose gas from a quantum kinetic system. *Kinet. Relat. Models*, 2(2):379–402, 2009.

[2] Ricardo Alonso, Irene M. Gamba, and Minh-Binh Tran. The Cauchy problem for the quantum Boltzmann equation for bosons at very low temperature. *Submitted*.

[3] James R. Anglin and Wolfgang Ketterle. Bose–einstein condensation of atomic gases. *Nature*, 416(6877):211–218, 2002.

[4] Volker Bach, Sébastien Breteaux, Thomas Chen, Jürg Fröhlich, and Israel Michael Sigal. The time-dependent Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov equations for bosons. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1602.05171*, 2016.

[5] Claude Bardos, François Golse, and David Levermore. Fluid dynamic limits of kinetic equations. I. Formal derivations. *J. Statist. Phys.*, 63(1-2):323–344, 1991.

[6] K. Beauchard and E. Zuazua. Some controllability results for the 2D Kolmogorov equation. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 26(5):1793–1815, 2009.

[7] Gérard Ben Arous, Kay Kirkpatrick, and Benjamin Schlein. A central limit theorem in many-body quantum dynamics. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 321(2):371–417, 2013.

[8] D. Benedetto, F. Castella, R. Esposito, and M. Pulvirenti. Some considerations on the derivation of the nonlinear quantum Boltzmann equation. *J. Statist. Phys.*, 116(1-4):381–410, 2004.

[9] D. Benedetto, F. Castella, R. Esposito, and M. Pulvirenti. From the N-body Schrödinger equation to the quantum Boltzmann equation: a term-by-term convergence result in the weak coupling regime. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 277(1):1–44, 2008.

[10] D. Benedetto, M. Pulvirenti, F. Castella, and R. Esposito. On the weak-coupling limit for bosons and fermions. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.*, 15(12):1811–1843, 2005.

[11] F. Bethuel and J. C. Saut. Vortices and sound waves for the gross-pitaevskii equation. *Non-linear PDEs in Condensed Matter and Reactive Flows, 339-354, NATO Sci. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci., bf 569 Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2002.*

[12] M. J. Bijlsma, E. Zaremba, and H. T. C. Stoof. Condensate growth in trapped bose gases. *Physical Review A*, 62(6):063609, 2000.

[13] A. V. Bobylev, I. M. Gamba, and V. A. Panferov. Moment inequalities and high-energy tails for Boltzmann equations with inelastic interactions. *J. Statist. Phys.*, 116(5-6):1651–1682, 2004.

[14] José A. Cañizo, José A. Carrillo, Philippe Laurençot, and Jesús Rosado. The Fokker-Planck equation for bosons in 2D: well-posedness and asymptotic behavior. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 137:291–305, 2016.

[15] Torsten Carleman. Sur la théorie de l'équation intégrodifférentielle de Boltzmann. *Acta Math.*, 60(1):91–146, 1933.

[16] Xuwen Chen and Yan Guo. On the weak coupling limit of quantum many-body dynamics and the quantum Boltzmann equation. *Kinet. Relat. Models*, 8(3):443–465, 2015.

[17] Matthew Davis. Dynamics of bose-einstein condensation. *PhD Thesis under the supervision of Keith Burnett*, 2001.

[18] D-A. Deckert, J. Fröhlich, P. Pickl, and A. Pizzo. Dynamics of sound waves in an interacting Bose gas. *Adv. Math.*, 293:275–323, 2016.

[19] L. Desvillettes and S. Mischler. About the splitting algorithm for Boltzmann and B.G.K. equations. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.*, 6(8):1079–1101, 1996.

[20] L. Desvillettes and C. Villani. On the trend to global equilibrium for spatially inhomogeneous kinetic systems: the Boltzmann equation. *Invent. Math.*, 159(2):245–316, 2005.

[21] R. J. DiPerna and P.-L. Lions. On the Cauchy problem for Boltzmann equations: global existence and weak stability. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 130(2):321–366, 1989.

[22] Jean Dolbeault, Clément Mouhot, and Christian Schmeiser. Hypocoercivity for linear kinetic equations conserving mass. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 367(6):3807–3828, 2015.

[23] U. Eckern. Relaxation processes in a condensed bose gas. *J. Low Temp. Phys.*, 54:333–359, 1984.

[24] László Erdős, Manfred Salmhofer, and Horng-Tzer Yau. On the quantum Boltzmann equation. *J. Statist. Phys.*, 116(1-4):367–380, 2004.

[25] M. Escobedo and O. Kavian. Variational problems related to self-similar solutions of the heat equation. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 11(10):1103–1133, 1987.

[26] M. Escobedo, S. Mischler, and J. J. L. Vélazquez. On the fundamental solution of a linearized Uehling-Uhlenbeck equation. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 186(2):309–349, 2007.

[27] M. Escobedo, S. Mischler, and J. J. L. Velázquez. Singular solutions for the Uehling-Uhlenbeck equation. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A*, 138(1):67–107, 2008.

[28] M. Escobedo and J. J. L. Velázquez. Finite time blow-up and condensation for the bosonic Nordheim equation. *Invent. Math.*, 200(3):761–847, 2015.

[29] Miguel Escobedo, Stéphane Mischler, and Manuel A. Valle. Entropy maximisation problem for quantum relativistic particles. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 133(1):87–120, 2005.

[30] A.L. Fetter and A.A. Svidzinsky. Vortices in a trapped dilute Bose-Einstein condensate. *J. Phys. Condens. Matter*, 13:R135–R194, 2001.

[31] W. E. Fitzgibbon. Initial-boundary value problems for the Carleman equation. *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 9(3):519–525, 1983. Hyperbolic partial differential equations.

[32] Jürg Fröhlich and Zhou Gang. Exponential convergence to the Maxwell distribution for some class of Boltzmann equations. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 314(2):525–554, 2012.

[33] C. Gardiner and P. Zoller. Quantum kinetic theory. A quantum kinetic master equation for condensation of a weakly interacting Bose gas without a trapping potential. *Phys. Rev. A*, 55:2902, 1997.

[34] C. Gardiner and P. Zoller. Quantum kinetic theory. III. Quantum kinetic master equation for strongly condensed trapped systems. *Phys. Rev. A*, 58:536, 1998.

[35] C. Gardiner and P. Zoller. Quantum kinetic theory. V. Quantum kinetic master equation for mutual interaction of condensate and noncondensate. *Phys. Rev. A*, 61:033601, 2000.

[36] C. Gardiner and P. Zoller. *Cold Atoms: Volume 2 The Quantum World of Ultra-Cold Atoms and Light Book I: Foundations of Quantum Optics*. Imperial College Press, 2014.

[37] C. Gardiner and P. Zoller. *Cold Atoms: Volume 4 The Quantum World of Ultra-Cold Atoms and Light Book II: The Physics of Quantum-Optical Devices*. Imperial College Press, 2015.

[38] C. Gardiner, P. Zoller, R. J. Ballagh, and M. J. Davis. Kinetics of Bose-Einstein condensation in a trap. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 79:1793, 1997.

[39] C. W. Gardiner, M. D. Lee, R. J. Ballagh, M. J. Davis, and P. Zoller. Quantum kinetic theory of condensate growth: Comparison of experiment and theory. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 81:5266, 1998.

[40] Nikuni T. Zaremba E. Griffin, A. Bose-condensed gases at finite temperatures. *Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009*.

[41] M. Grillakis and M. Machedon. Beyond mean field: on the role of pair excitations in the evolution of condensates. *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, 14(1):91–111, 2013.

[42] M. Grillakis and M. Machedon. Pair excitations and the mean field approximation of interacting bosons, I. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 324(2):601–636, 2013.

[43] M. Grillakis, M. Machedon, and D. Margetis. Second-order corrections to mean field evolution of weakly interacting bosons. II. *Adv. Math.*, 228(3):1788–1815, 2011.

[44] Yan Guo. The Landau equation in a periodic box. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 231(3):391–434, 2002.

[45] Yan Guo. The Vlasov-Poisson-Boltzmann system near Maxwellians. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 55(9):1104–1135, 2002.

[46] E. D Gust and L. E. Reichl. Collision integrals in the kinetic equations ofdilute bose-einstein condensates. *arXiv:1202.3418*, 2012.

[47] E. D Gust and L. E. Reichl. Relaxation rates and collision integrals for bose-einstein condensates. *Phys. Rev. A*, 170:43–59, 2013.

[48] Stephen Gustafson, Kenji Nakanishi, and Tai-Peng Tsai. Scattering theory for the Gross-Pitaevskii equation in three dimensions. *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, 11(4):657–707, 2009.

[49] Jingwei Hu and Shi Jin. A stochastic Galerkin method for the Boltzmann equation with uncertainty. *Submitted*.

[50] M. Imamovic-Tomasovic and A. Griffin. Quasiparticle kinetic equation in a trapped bose gas at low temperatures. *J. Low Temp. Phys.*, 122:617–655, 2001.

[51] Massimo Inguscio, Sandro Stringari, and Carl E. Wieman. *Bose-Einstein condensation in atomic gases*, volume 140. IOS Press, Amsterdam, 1999.

[52] D. Jaksch, C. Gardiner, K. M. Gheri, and P. Zoller. Quantum kinetic theory. IV. Intensity and amplitude fluctuations of a Bose-Einstein condensate at finite temperature including trap loss. *Phys. Rev. A*, 58:1450, 1998.

[53] D. Jaksch, C. Gardiner, and P. Zoller. Quantum kinetic theory. II. Simulation of the quantum Boltzmann master equation. *Phys. Rev. A*, 56:575, 1997.

[54] C. A. Jones, S. J. Putterman, and P. H. Roberts. Motions in a Bose condensate: V. Stability of solitary wave solutions of non-linear Schrodinger equations in two and three dimensions. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, 19:2991–3011, 1986.

[55] C. A. Jones and P. H. Roberts. Motions in a Bose condensate: IV. Axisymmetric solitary waves. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, 15:2599–2619, 1982.

[56] Y. Kagan and B. V. Svistunov. Evolution of correlation properties and appearance of broken symmetry in the process of bose-einstein condensation. *Physical review letters*, 79(18):3331, 1997.

[57] T. R. Kirkpatrick and J. R. Dorfman. Transport theory for a weakly interacting condensed Bose gas. *Phys. Rev. A (3)*, 28(4):2576–2579, 1983.

[58] T. R. Kirkpatrick and J. R. Dorfman. Transport in a dilute but condensed nonideal bose gas: Kinetic equations. *J. Low Temp. Phys.*, 58:301–331, 1985.

[59] Richard Kollár and Robert L. Pego. Spectral stability of vortices in two-dimensional Bose-Einstein condensates via the Evans function and Krein signature. *Appl. Math. Res. Express. AMRX*, (1):1–46, 2012.

[60] C. David Levermore, Hailiang Liu, and Robert L. Pego. Global Dynamics of Bose–Einstein Condensation for a Model of the Kompaneets Equation. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 48(4):2454–2494, 2016.

[61] Stéphane Mischler. Convergence of discrete-velocity schemes for the Boltzmann equation. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 140(1):53–77, 1997.

[62] Stéphane Mischler and Bernt Wennberg. On the spatially homogeneous Boltzmann equation. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 16(4):467–501, 1999.

[63] David Mitrouskas, Sören Petrat, and Peter Pickl. Bogoliubov corrections and trace norm convergence for the hartree dynamics. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1609.06264*, 2016.

[64] Clément Mouhot and Robert M. Strain. Spectral gap and coercivity estimates for linearized Boltzmann collision operators without angular cutoff. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 87(5):515–535, 2007.

[65] N. Proukakis, S. Gardiner, M. Davis, and M. Szymanska. *Cold Atoms: Volume 1 Quantum Gases Finite Temperature and Non-Equilibrium Dynamics*. Imperial College Press, 2013.

[66] Nick P Proukakis and Brian Jackson. Finite-temperature models of bose–einstein condensation. *Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics*, 41(20):203002, 2008.

[67] L. E. Reichl and E. D Gust. Transport theory for a dilute bose-einstein condensate. *J Low Temp Phys*, 88:053603, 2013.

[68] Linda E. Reichl and Minh-Binh Tran. On the Peletmiskii-Yatsenko method and derivations of quantum kinetic equations. *In Preparation*.

[69] Robert Seiringer. The excitation spectrum for weakly interacting bosons. *Communications in mathematical physics*, 306(2):565–578, 2011.

[70] D. V. Semikoz and Igor I. Tkachev. Kinetics of bose condensation. *Physical review letters*, 74(16):3093, 1995.

[71] D. V. Semikoz and Igor I. Tkachev. Condensation of bosons in the kinetic regime. *Physical Review D*, 55(2):489, 1997.

[72] A. Soffer and M.-B. Tran. On the dynamics of finite temperature trapped bose gases.

[73] H. Spohn. Kinetics of the bose-einstein condensation. *Physica D*, 239:627–634, 2010.

[74] H. Stoof. Coherent versus incoherent dynamics during bose-einstein condensation in atomic gases. *J. Low Temp. Phys.*, 114:11–108, 1999.

[75] Robert M. Strain and Yan Guo. Almost exponential decay near Maxwellian. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 31(1-3):417–429, 2006.

[76] Catherine Sulem and Pierre-Louis Sulem. *The nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, volume 139 of *Applied Mathematical Sciences*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999. Self-focusing and wave collapse.

[77] P. Torma and K. Sengstock. *Cold Atoms: Volume 3 Quantum Gas Experiments Exploring Many-Body States*. Imperial College Press, 2014.

[78] Cédric Villani. A review of mathematical topics in collisional kinetic theory. In *Handbook of mathematical fluid dynamics, Vol. I*, pages 71–305. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2002.

[79] Nikuni T. Griffin A. Zaremba, E. Dynamics of trapped bose gases at finite temperatures. *J. Low Temp. Phys.*, 116:277–345, 1999.